X13 101:973 C. 2

THE JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA



ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

1973



THE JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

ANNUAL REPORT

of the

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

1973

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2012 with funding from LYRASIS Members and Sloan Foundation



ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

JUSTICE BUILDING RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA

BERT M. MONTAGUE

Director

FRANKLIN E. FREEMAN, JR.

Assistant Director

To The Honorable, The Chief Justice of The Supreme Court of North Carolina

Submitted herewith is the Eighth Annual Report of the Administrative Officer of the Courts. This Report, prepared pursuant to G.S. 7A-343, relates to the 1973 calendar year.

BERT M. MONTAGUE

Director

en en la Region de la Contraction de la Contract F 5.: 7 -

CONTENTS

Foreword	7
Map of North Carolina Judicial Divisions and Districts	10
The Appellate Division	11
The Supreme Court	11
The Court of Appeals	12
The Superior Court Division	13
Total Cases Added and Disposed of	
in the Superior Court	13
Total Cases Pending in the Superior Court	14
Utilization of Scheduled Court	14
Superior Court Civil Dockets	15
Civil Cases Added and Disposed of	
in the Superior Court	16
Civil Cases Pending in the Superior Court	16
Distribution of Pending Civil Cases	1 17
among the Counties	17
Ten Counties with Largest Civil Dockets	17
Civil Cases Pending, Added, and Disposed of in the Superior Court	18
Utilization of Civil Superior Court Terms	25
Superior Court Criminal Dockets	29
Criminal Cases Added and Disposed of	40
in the Superior Court	31
Criminal Cases Pending in the Superior Court	31
Distribution of Pending Criminal Cases	
among the Counties	32
Ten Counties with Largest Criminal Dockets	32
Ten Counties with Greatest Proportion of Cases	
Pending in Relation to Dispositions	33
Percent of Cases Tried in the District Court	
Which Were Appealed to the	90
Superior Court for Trial De Novo Percent of Superior Court Filings Which are	33
Cases Appealed from the	
District Court for Trial De Novo	33
Criminal Cases Pending, Added, and	
Disposed of in the Superior Court	34
Utilization of Criminal Superior Court Terms	41
The District Court Division	45
District Court Civil Dockets	45
Civil Cases Added and Disposed of	-10
in the District Court	46

46
47
17
48
56
57
57
57
5 8
59
66
74
81
86
91
92
96
97
01
.01
.01
.02
.03
.05
.08
108

FOREWORD

The court reorganization movement in North Carolina began in the mid nineteen-fifties and culminated in the adoption of constitutional amendments in 1962 and 1965 and the enactment of the "Judicial Department Act of 1965." Yet, North Carolina's unified judicial system is still relatively young. The year 1973 marks only the third year during which all divisions of the General Court of Justice have operated in each of the State's 100 counties. The Judicial Department consists of an Appellate Division composed of the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals (which has been fully operational since 1968), a Superior Court Division and a District Court Division. The system is unified for purposes of jurisdiction, operation and administration. All of the officers and personnel of the Judicial Department are employees of the State.

There are now 7 justices of the Supreme Court, 9 judges of the Court of Appeals, 49 full-time Superior Court judges, 112 District Court judges, 30 district attorneys and 112 assistant district attorneys, 3 public defenders and 11 assistant public defenders (serving in the 12th, 18th and 28th judicial districts), 100 clerks of the superior court, approximately 520 magistrates, approximately 200 juvenile counselors (serving in 29 judicial districts), 76 court reporters, and over 1,100 supporting personnel (primarily assistants, deputies, secretarial and clerical personnel in the offices of clerks of the superior court).

The Administrative Officer of the Courts is required to "submit an annual report on the work of the Judicial Department" to the Chief Justice and the members of the General Assembly. This Annual Report is based upon data supplied by the clerks of superior court on a monthly and quarterly basis. The data contained herein can most appropriately be used in making yearto-year comparisons for the entire system and in comparing the performance of different counties and judicial districts. The data is also the beginning point for independent analysis of the performance of the courts of each county and judicial district. Although caution should be used in generalizing about the performance of the entire General Court of Justice, this report does evaluate the status of the criminal and civil dockets of the Superior and District Court divisions. These evaluations are carefully grounded on the available data base, but they derive from quantitative rather than qualitative data and are based upon totals for groups of counties rather than a thoroughgoing analysis of each county.

As explained in the foreword to the 1971 and 1972 Annual Reports most of the comparative analysis for those years was based upon the data from the 83 counties where the court structure was constant throughout the period of comparison. Some of the bar graphs were based solely on a comparison of these

83 counties. This year the distinction between "Group I" and "Group II" counties has been eliminated, since all 100 counties have been under the new structure for three full years. All commentary, tables and graphs are based upon data from all 100 counties. Although Superior Court graphs permit a five year comparison, District Court graphs will thus permit only a three year comparison.

Although there are a number of statistical indicators that may be used in evaluating court performance, there is no single barometer that gives a weighted average of all factors. The more obvious factors to weigh in making year-to-year comparisons and relative rankings between counties and judicial districts are filings, dispositions, and the number of cases pending at the end of the year. Other useful measures are the *pending ratios* (the relation that the number of cases pending at year's end bears to the number of cases disposed of during the year) and the *rate of disposition* (the percentage of the year's filings which were disposed of during the year). Low *pending ratios* and high *rates of disposition* are positive measures.


The statistics contained herein are case flow statistics and none are "backlog" or "delay" statistics. This report uses "cases pending" as one unit of analysis, but the term "backlog" will not be found herein and it is inaccurate to apply that term to the cases which are properly described as "pending." A case which is pending may be two days or two years old. "Backlog" may be tentatively defined as those cases which have been pending disposition longer than an agreed upon standard of time for the average case of the same type in the same court. A "delayed" case would be any case which exceeded the agreed upon standard time-frame and such cases could also be described as "backlogged." Even if an agreed upon standard time-frame with supporting data was available, such data could only properly be used (as is the case with the data which is available) as a starting point for analysis.

In any case, the Administrative Office does not now routinely collect data on the length of time required for the disposition of particular cases. However, this office commissioned a special study of cases filed in the Superior Court Criminal Division in 1971. The results of that study were published in December of 1973. Although the data for that study is somewhat outdated (there have been marked improvements in the performance of the Criminal Division of the Superior Court in both 1972 and 1973), it is valuable in that it makes available numerous types of data that have not been available in the past. Moreover, it makes a useful analytical contribution to the causes of docket congestion and delay. Copies of Delay in The Superior Courts of North Carolina and an Assessment of Its Causes may be obtained from the Administrative Office.

Whatever the unit of analysis, whether "cases pending," "delayed cases," or "pending ratios," a number of questions must be asked once the raw data is available. At what point in time is the data stated? Cases pending, for example, are stated as of December 31, 1973; are they generally higher during a holiday season? What effect do the customs and practices of the local bar have upon courtroom and trial delays? What are the policies of the court, the bar, and district attorneys with respect to continuances? Is there a well thought out system for the calendaring of cases? Are pre-trial release procedures working effectively? Is the waiver procedure for motor vehicle cases fully utilized? Are continuances caused by court congestion or are they sought after by the parties? What effect do sentencing practices have upon the rate of criminal appeals between the District and Superior Courts? How much court was held in the district and how often? (In some counties there are no more than two weeks of Superior Court each year.) What are the geographical dimensions of the judicial district? Are seats of court distant from one another, requiring a great deal of travel time by court officials? Are there sufficient courtrooms available when needed? Are the courtrooms located and designed so as to permit efficient dispatch of the court's business? How many cases counted as "pending" are essentially "dead" cases and will ultimately be not prossed or dismissed? (It may in fact be customary strategy to file a civil action knowing at the time that the case will never be tried; similarly, it may be good strategy for the district attorney not to nol pros a case, although knowing that it will never be tried.) What is the extent of plea bargaining in the district? What is the settlement rate in the district? Has there been illness among court officials? Are there adequate investigatory resources? Are law enforcement and expert witnesses available when needed? The above list is not exhaustive but is illustrative of the types of questions that must be explored in analyzing the statistical data for each county and district.

The purpose of this foreword has not been to denigrate the statistical data reported herein, but to stress the subtlety and complexity of the variables which they reflect. All quantitative data must be read with care and this is especially true of court statistics. Too great a reliance on numbers alone gives credence to the concept of "mass justice." Court officials know that justice is administered to individuals.

NORTH CAROLINA JUDICIAL DIVISIONS AND DISTRICTS



THE APPELLATE DIVISION

THE SUPREME COURT

One hundred and forty-six opinions were filed by the Supreme Court in 1973. Full opinions were rendered in 136 cases and 10 opinions were per curiam. Of the total, 94 were criminal and 52 were civil cases. Sixty-nine percent (65 opinions) of the criminal appeals were affirmed and 35% (18 opinions) of the civil appeals were affirmed. In the remaining cases, the Supreme Court modified the decision from which the appeal was taken. As reported in the North Carolina Reports, the court disposed of 235 petitions for certiorari, 2 motions to dismiss, 2 motions to rehear, and 1 motion to withdraw.

JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT

Chief Justice
WILLIAM H. BOBBITT

Associate Justices

CARLISLE W. HIGGINS SUSIE SHARP I. BEVERLY LAKE JOSEPH BRANCH
J. FRANK HUSKINS
DAN K. MOORE

Emergency Justices

WILLIAM B. RODMAN, JR. J. WILL PLESS, JR.

THE COURT OF APPEALS

Six hundred and sixty-four opinions were filed by the Court of Appeals in 1973. Of these 664, 363 were criminal cases and 301 were civil cases. The court determined and disposed of 317 motions and 452 petitions in 1973.

JUDGES OF THE COURT OF APPEALS

Chief Judge
Walter E. Brock

Associate Judges

HUGH B. CAMPBELL

R. A. HEDRICK

DAVID M. BRITT

EARL W. VAUGHN

NAOMI E. MORRIS

JAMES M. BALEY, JR.

FRANK M. PARKER

JAMES H. CARSON, JR.

Emergency Judge
RAYMOND B. MALLARD


THE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION

The performance of the superior court division of the General Court of Justice is adequately summarized in the sections on civil and criminal dockets which follow. Only a few items deserve highlighting in terms of the combined civil and criminal dockets. Total filings increased by 4.8% and dispositions increased by 2.2%. The number of cases pending at the end of the year decreased by 6.0%. The number of days of court scheduled increased by 4.1% and was followed by an increase of 2.9% in the number of days of court actually held. For all 100 counties the percentage of court utilization remained about the same, dropping by less than 1%. Significantly, the total number of cases pending is the lowest since court reorganization began in 1966.

It is especially encouraging to note that the pending ratio for the criminal division dropped from 50.9 in 1971 to 40.5 in 1972, and to 32.3 this year. It is discouraging to note that the ratio for the civil division rose from 131.3 in 1972 to 154.6 in 1973. Extrapolating these ratios, it may be estimated that it would require less than 4 months for the court to dispose of its pending criminal cases and over one year and six months to dispose of its civil cases.

TOTAL CASES ADDED AND DISPOSED OF IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

January 1, 1969 - December 31, 1973



TOTAL CASES PENDING IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

December 31, 1969 - December 31, 1973

12/31/69							28,631
12/31/70						_	30,971
12/31/71							31,146
12/31/72							28,293
12/31/73							26,609
(in thousands)	5	10	15	20	25	30	

UTILIZATION OF SCHEDULED COURT January 1, 1969 - December 31, 1973

	Days Scheduled	Days Held	Percentage
1/1/69-12/31/69	$9,061\frac{1}{2}$	7,118	78.5
1/1/70-12/31/70	$8,940\frac{1}{2}$	$7,178\frac{1}{2}$	80.3
1/1/71-12/31/71	8,792	$7,266\frac{1}{2}$	82.6
1/1/72-12/31/72	9,170	7,496	81.7
1/1/73-12/31/73	$9,542\frac{1}{2}$	7,716	80.9

SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL DOCKETS

Although delay in the disposition of criminal cases and the resulting congestion that occurs has received considerable public attention in recent years, the problem of delay and congestion in the civil division of the superior court has been virtually ignored. As indicated in this space last year, there is room for much improvement in the disposition of superior court civil cases.


For the first time in the last seven years, the number of cases filed has exceeded the number of cases disposed of and the number of cases pending at the end of the year has shown an increase from the prior year. As has always been the case, the pending ratio for this division is higher than for any other division of the General Court of Justice. The 1972 ratio was 131.3, indicating that it would require approximately one year and four months for the superior court to dispose of all cases pending. Significantly, the 1973 ratio has increased to 154.6 which indicates that it would require over one year and six months to dispose of all civil cases pending.

Most performance indicators are not favorable when compared to 1972. Filings increased from 8,249 to 8,490 or 2.9%; dispositions decreased from 8,871 to 7,897 or 11.0%; and the number of cases pending at the end of the year increased from 11,617 to 12,210 or 5.1%. The rate of disposition dropped from 108.8% to 93.0%. There was improvement in court availability and utilization, the number of days scheduled increasing by 1.6% and the number of days held increasing by 4.3%. The percentage of court utilization increased from 73.3% to 74.9%.

Among all 100 counties, the 10 counties with the largest civil dockets accounted for 48.5% of the total pending cases. Sixty-eight counties had 100 or fewer cases pending and only 4 counties had more than 500 pending.


CIVIL CASES ADDED AND DISPOSED OF IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

January 1, 1969 - December 31, 1973



CIVIL CASES PENDING IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

December 31, 1969 - December 31, 1973



DISTRIBUTION OF PENDING CIVIL CASES AMONG THE COUNTIES

Cases	Less than 50	50-100	101-200	201-500	Over 500
Number of Counties 1972	42	30	15	9	4
1973	41	27	19	9	4

TEN COUNTIES WITH LARGEST CIVIL DOCKETS*

County	Pending 1-1-73	Added	Disposed of	Pending 12-31-73	Percent of Filings Disposed of
Mecklenburg	1,490	891	874	1,507	98.1
Wake	844	664	469	1,039	70.6
Guilford	693	695	580	808	83.5
Forsyth	576	758	707	627	93.3
Buncombe	407	297	303	401	102.0
Henderson	334	36	34	336	94.4
Franklin	305	54	24	335	44.4
Durham	374	212	288	298	135.8
Gaston	327	261	291	297	111.5
Cumberland	237	247	207	277	83.8
STATE MEAN	116	85	79	122	92.9

^{*}All of these counties were listed in this table in the 1972 Annual Report.

CIVIL CASES PENDING, ADDED, AND DISPOSED OF IN THE SUPERIOR COURTS BY JUDICIAL DISTRICTS

January 1, 1973 - December 31, 1973

				Cases Disposed	sed of			
IST DISTRICT	Pending 1/1/73	Cases Filed	Jury	Judge	Other	Total	Pending 12/31/73	Gain or Loss
Camden		က်	0 (0	ro o	ကင္	က ရိ	
Chowan	27	35 74 74 75	o F4	4.4	0 m	7 80 2 80	88	
Dare	36	43	0	10	12	22	57	
Gates	16	က	0	တ င္	7	4.5	17	
Pasquotank Perquimans TOTAL	28 170	154 154	4 61 F ~	7 6 7 8	₇ 209	109 109	215	+ 1 +
2ND DISTRICT								
Beaufort Hyde	71	53	ဖ ဝ	21	26 4	က္ကတ	77	
Martin Tyrrell	0 m	0 25	00	#	518	<u>დ</u> თ	6,0	1
Washington TOTAL	141	15 96	ဝဖ	το 2	48	6 96	26 141	
3RD DISTRICT								
Carteret	888	52 127	L 63	14 43	8833	114	96 145	++
Pamlico Pitt	12.	96	04	23.1	4 ZZ	ი ჯ	21 165	
TOTAL	362	282	14	81	<u> </u>	217	427	

	_			Cases Disposed of	osed of			
4TH DISTRICT	Pending 1/1/73	Cases Filed	Jury	Judge	Other	Total	Pending 12/31/73	Gain or Loss
Duplin	88	33	7	25	32	29	62	
Jones	5	Ħ	က	5	4.8	∞	18	
Onslow	153 62	£ 88	N 4	တို့ ထ	20 14 14	2 8 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	88	++ 16
TOTAL	318	156	11	69	92	156	318	
5TH DISTRICT								
New Hanover	189	114	10	35	49	4:	209	
TOTAL	3	129	¹ #	• द्	57	7 =	261 261	+ 18 2
6TH DISTRICT								
Bertie	8	22	H	es ;	7	=	41	
Halifa x Hertford	25	988	64 rc	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	82	90	72	0
Northampton	88	11	00	9	3 co	1 7 7	323	
TOTAL	154	119	0 0	54	20	112	161	
7TH DISTRICT								
Edgecombe	19	72		15	24	9	51	
Nash Wilson	137	110 64	0 0 8	젊 2	7 4 8	6 8	156 151	++ 61 -
TOTAL	306	246	14	61	119	194	358	
8TH DISTRICT								
Greene	574	75	7	9	26	88	39	
Lenoir Wayne	. 215 212	76 135	4 0	82	4 8	æ 1	159 206	1
TOTAL	430	235	17	106	138	261	404	

### Prending 1/1/13 1/1/13	Filed Filed 54	es	Jury	Judge	Other	Total	12/31/73	Gain or Loss
38 E 127 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8								
35 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12		54	9	6	6	24	335	
E 12.7.7. 48		33	က	4	14	21	29	
25. 48		25	-	œ ţ	15	4.2	7.70	
48 48 48 48		10	dı ≺	3.5	ဂ္ဂ ထ	* e	25.0	
844		- ¾	† 2	49	79	146	572	+ 18
844								
-		664	27	200	242	469	1,039	+195
•								
182 138 851	115	35	r 60	35 55 55	87 67	126 92	171	1+
96		2 2	œ	17	16	41	133	
416		96	18	11	170	259	453	
237		17	10	65	132	207	277	
248	256		9	T 99	139	215	289	+ 41
a Markinston .						-		
14		17	0	ø,	4	12	19	
100		97 92 92	121	24	52 18	54.5	111	+ + 11 %
270		8(13	41	74	128	250	

	Gain or Loss	92 —		H			+ 1 38			80	+	- 25		-	++ 35	+115
	Pending 12/31/73	298		146 39 209	394		74 25	66		8 105	104	245		000	205	808
	Total	288		91 39 57	187		182 5	187		109	78	228	22	- 67	141	280
sed of	Other	246		20 37 37	115		36 2	88		57	10 58	132		100	88	257
Cases Disposed of	Judge	18		31 16 12	29		132 3	135		41	9 1	29		606	57	259
	Jury	24		ପର୍ଷ	13		41 0	14		112	11 13	37		97	16	49
i	Cases Filed	212		88 78 83 83	178		124 8	132		109	28 54	203		, 181	214	695
1	Pending 1/1/73	374	<i>i</i>	148 52 203	403	_	132 22	154		105	27 128	270		2	132	693
	14TH DISTRICT	Durham	15TH DISTRICT	Alamance Chatham Orange	TOTAL	16TH DISTRICT	Robeson Scotland	TOTAL	17TH DISTRICT	Caswell Rockingham	Stokes Surry	TOTAL	18TH DISTRICT	Guilford—	High Point	TOTAL

				Cases Disposed of	osed of			
	Pending 1/1/73	Cases	Jury	Judge	Other	Total	Pending 12/31/73	Gain or Loss
19TH DISTRICT								
Cabarrus	86	85	10	46	30	98	94	4
Montgomery	26	36		က	8	22	88	
Randolph	206	69	13	42	1 9	116	159	
Rowan	9	78	6	24	22	88	20	10
TOTAL	390	265	33	115	166	314	341	
20TH DISTRICT						-		
A second	96	31	œ	19	4	9.4	43	
Moore	88	47) (C	36	י גכ	i ee	113	
Richmond	6	8	90	38	17	22.0	85	
Stanly	38	18	က	က	12	21	22	
Union	**	113	1	11	22	45	92	88 +
o TOTAL	274	262	37	81	63	181	355	
Forsyth	576	758	78	462	167	707	627	+ 51
22ND DISTRICT								
Alexander	21	19	0	11	10	21	19	7
Davidson	146	175	10	78	58	146	175	
Davie	52	ဓ္က	es è		12	¥.	131	စ ငွ +
Iredell	140	n n	97	\$	20	671	011	
TOTAL	332	323	78	140	152	320	332	
23RD DISTRICT								
Alleghany	6	16	7	67	83	11	14	
Ashe	13	14	. 63	7	12	16	11	
Wilkes	8	98	œι	61	7 5	1 56	87	+
Yadkin	99	97	9	15	87	80	54	
TOTAL	168	142	ន	88	98	146	164	

	_			Cases Disposed of	osed of	-		
29TH DISTRICT	Pending 1/1/73	Cases Fued	Jury	Judge	Other	Total	Pending 12/31/73	Gain or Loss
Henderson	334	36	22	16	13	28	336	
McDowell	22	78	ro c		110	17	36	+ 11
Folk	9.5	35	ာဏ	40		27	C 85	
Transylvania	20	23	0	့ တ	12	$2\overline{1}$	22	
TOTAL	475	169	19	70	88	157	487	
30TH DISTRICT	-							
Cherokee	15	15	0	∞	∞	16	14	
Clay	1	5	લ	7	က	7	2	
Graham	15	33	0	4	12	16	32	
Haywood	57	43	ro	17	13	35	65	
Jackson	28	29	က	∞	9	17	20	
Macon	87	33	5	78	9	39	81	
Swain	62	12	ત	લ	9	10	49	
TOTAL	301	170	17	69	54	140	331	+ 30
GRAND TOTAL	11,617	8,490	2176	3,167	3,954	7,897	12,210	+593
Percent			9.8	40.1	50.1	100.0		

UTILIZATION OF CIVIL SUPERIOR COURT TERMS BY JUDICIAL DISTRICTS

1973 Calendar Year

			1	
1ST DISTRICT	Days Scheduled	Days Held	Days Unused	% Used
Camden	5	1	4	20.0
Chowan	15	71/9	71/2	50.0
Currituck	10	5	5	50.0
Dare	7	4 2 5	3	57.1
Gates	5	2	3	40.0
Pasquotank	10 10	5 5	5 3 3 5 5	50.0 50.0
Perquimans TOTAL	62	_	321/2	47.6
	62	291/2	3472	47.0
2ND DISTRICT	30	24	G	80.0
Beaufort Hyde	0	0	6 0	80.0
Martin	15	6	9	40.0
Tyrrell	0	0	0	
Washington	10	31/2	61/2	35.0
TOTAL	55	331/2	211/2	60.9
3RD DISTRICT				
Carteret	15	8	7	53.3
Craven	29	23	6	79.3
Pamlico	3	3	0	100.0
Pitt	30	19	11	63.3
TOTAL	77	53	24	68.8
4TH DISTRICT			_1,	
Duplin	15	71/2	71/2	50.0
Jones Onslow	10 20	6 13	4 7	60.0 65.0
Sampson	20	8	12	40.0
TOTAL	65	341/2	301/2	53.1
	00	34 72	5072	00.1
5TH DISTRICT		201/	221/	00.5
New Hanover Pender	85 5	56½ 4	$\frac{28\frac{1}{2}}{1}$	66.5 80.0
TOTAL	90	601/2	291/2	67.2
	90	0072	4372	
6TH DISTRICT	21	12	9	57.1
Bertie Halifax	20	131/2	61/2	67.5
Hertford	15	$9\frac{10}{2}$	51/2	- 63.3
Northampton	12	5	7'2	41.7
TOTAL	68	40	28	58.8
7TH DISTRICT				
Edgecombe	25	91/2	151/2	38.0
Nash	34	$16\frac{1}{2}$	171/2	48.5
Wilson	29	15	14	51.7
TOTAL	88	41	47	46.6

	Days Scheduled	Days Held	Days Unused	% Used
8TH DISTRICT				
Greene	5	3	2	60.0
Lenoir	33	21	12	63.6
Wayne	35	23	12	65.7
TOTAL	73	47	26	64.4
9TH DISTRICT				
Franklin	10	9	1	90.0
Granville	25	$12\frac{1}{2}$	$12\frac{1}{2}$	50.0
Person Vance	15 20	10 10	5 10	66. 7 50.0
Warren	10	5	5	50.0
TOTAL	80	461/2	331/2	58.1
10TH DISTRICT				
Wake	179	154	25	86.0
11TH DISTRICT				
	40	20	187	65.3
Harnett Johnston	49 50	$\begin{array}{c} 32 \\ 31 \end{array}$	17 19	62.0
Lee	20	15	5	75.0
TOTAL	119	78	41	65. 5
12TH DISTRICT				
Cumberland	41	32	9	78.0
Hoke	$\hat{25}$	21	4	84.0
TOTAL	66	53	13	8 0. 3
13TH DISTRICT				
Bladen	15	11	4	73.3
Brunswick	15	$11\frac{1}{2}$	31/2	76.7
Columbus	35	25	10	71.4
TOTAL	65	$47\frac{1}{2}$	$17\frac{1}{2}$	73.1
14TH DISTRICT				
Durham	69	63	6	91.3
15TH DISTRICT				
Alamance	43	34	9	79.1
Chatham	20	$7\frac{1}{2}$	$12\frac{1}{2}$	37.5
Orange	28	$22\frac{1}{2}$	$5\frac{1}{2}$	80.4
TOTAL	91	64	27	70.3
16TH DISTRICT				
Robeson	40	30	10	75.0
Scotland	15	1	14	6.7
TOTAL	55	31	24	56.4
17TH DISTRICT				
Caswell	6	4	2	66.7
Rockingham	53	39	14	73.6
Stokes Surry	-10 30	$\begin{array}{c} 51\!/_{\!2} \\ 22 \end{array}$	4½ 8	55.0 73.3
TOTAL	99	701/2	281/2	71.2
JIII	00	.0/2	-0/2	

18TH DISTRICT	Days Scheduled	Days Held	Days Unused	% Used
Guilford	303	251	52	82.8
19TH DISTRICT				
Cabarrus	40	28	12	70.0
Montgomery Randolph	10 80	6 53½	$\frac{4}{26\frac{1}{2}}$	60.0 66.9
Rowan	46	30	16	65.2
TOTAL	176	1171/2	581/2	66.8
20TH DISTRICT				
Anson	15	10	5	66.7
Moore Richmond	15 25	8 16	5 7 9 2 5	53.3 64.0
Stanly	10	8	2	80.0
Union	30	25		83.3
TOTAL	95	67	28	70.5
21ST DISTRICT				
Forsyth	229	208	21	90.8
22ND DISTRICT				
Alexander	9	$4\frac{1}{2}$	41/2	50.0
Davidson Davie	84 10	52½	31½	62.5 55.0
Iredell	44	$\frac{51/_{2}}{351/_{2}}$	$\frac{4\frac{1}{2}}{8\frac{1}{2}}$	80.7
TOTAL	147	98	49	66.7
23RD DISTRICT				
Alleghany	15	8	7	53.3
Ashe	.8	5	3	62.5
Wilkes Yadkin	50 20	39 12	11 8	78.0 60.0
TOTAL	93	64	29	68.8
IOIAL	30	04	43	00.0
24TH DISTRICT				
Avery	31/2	31/2	0	100.0
Madison Mitchell	15 7	8 6	7 1	53.3 85.7
Watauga	10	10	0	100.0
Yancey	13	5	8	38.5
TOTAL	481/2	$32\frac{1}{2}$	16	67.0
25TH DISTRICT				
Burke	50	39	11	78.0
Caldwell	45	28	17	62.2
Catawba TOTAL	28 12 3	20½ 871/	7½	73.2 71.1
	120	871/2	351/2	71.1
26TH DISTRICT				
Mecklenburg	389	$350\frac{1}{2}$	381/2	90.1

27TH DISTRICT	Days Scheduled	Days Held	Days Unused	% Used
Cleveland	53	451/2	71/2	85.8
Gaston	75	68	7'2	90.7
Lincoln	15	141/2	1/2	96.7
TOTAL	143	128	15	89.5
28TH DISTRICT				
Buncombe	210	186	24	88.6
29TH DISTRICT				
Henderson	30	23	7	76.7
McDowell	20	17	3	85.0
Polk	10	4	6	40.0
Rutherford	25	20	5	80.0
Transylvania	20	5	15	25.0
TOTAL	105	69	36	65.7
30TH DISTRICT			To the second	
Cherokee	12	$2\frac{1}{2}$	91/2	20.8
Clay	7	6'2	1'2	85.7
Graham	0	0	0	
Haywood	30	22	8	73 .3
Jackson	17	11	6	64.7
Macon	18	12	0 8 6 6 7	66.7
Swain	17	10	1	58.8
TOTAL	101	$63\frac{1}{2}$	$37\frac{1}{2}$	62.9
GRAND TOTAL	$3,563\frac{1}{2}$	2,669	8941/2	74.9

SUPERIOR COURT CRIMINAL DOCKETS

Nineteen hundred and seventy-two does appear to have been a "turn around" year for the criminal division of the superior court. The favorable results reported in this space last year have continued into 1973 and it is hoped that a trend is being established which will result in further reductions in the number of criminal cases pending at year-end. While 1973 filings did increase by 5.1%, dispositions similarly increased by 5.0%. Most significantly, the number of cases pending at the end of the year decreased by 13.7% compared to a decrease of 9.9% in 1972 and increases of 28.3% in 1971 and 32.3% in 1970. The rate of disposition (the percentage of filings which were disposed of) exceeded 100% for only the second time since 1965. The rate of disposition for this year was 105.4%, compared to 104.7% in 1972, 89.3% in 1971, and 89.8% in 1970. Moreover, the pending ratio dropped from 40.5 in 1972 to 32.3 in 1973 indicating (all other things being equal) that the estimated amount of time for the court to dispose of all criminal cases pending has been reduced from less than 5 months to less than 4 months. This trend is more encouraging when it is remembered that the pending ratio in 1971 was 50.9, suggesting that more than 6 months would have been required to dispose of all criminal cases pending.

The number of days of superior court scheduled increased by 5.6% and there was a modest increase in the number of days actually held, 2.2%.

There is no single variable that can explain this continued improvement in superior court criminal dockets, but one factor deserves mention. The impact of appeals from the district court for trial de novo in the superior court was discussed in this space last year. It was indicated that any minor change in the number of cases being appealed from the district court (a high volume court) has a significant impact upon the superior court (a low volume court). The number of cases being appealed to the superior court has been further reduced in 1973, dropping from 20,899 to 20,268 or 3.0%. The 20,268 cases which were appealed constitute 5.3% of the total number of cases tried in the district court and amount to 47.8% of total superior court filings. The comparable percentages for 1972 were 5.5% and 51.9%. This marks the first year since the district court has been operating in all 100 counties of the State that misdemeanors have constituted less than one-half of superior court filings.

As was indicated in this space last year, the statistics demonstrate that the longer the district court is in operation, the lower the rate of appeals and the smaller the proportion of superior court filings which are appealed cases. For the 83 counties where the district court has been in operation for five or more years, the appeal rate from the district court is 4.8% and appeals constitute 44.9% of district court filings. This is in

marked contrast to the 17 counties which entered the system in December of 1970 where the appeal rate is 8.5% and appeals constitute 62.1% of superior court filings. It is hoped that the number of cases being appealed in these counties will be reduced in 1974.

The 10 counties with the largest criminal dockets accounted for 38.3% of the total cases pending at the end of the year. Fifty-four counties had 100 or fewer cases pending at the end of the year, and only 4 counties had more than 500 cases pending.

In the order named, Wake, Guilford, Mecklenburg, Cumberland and Forsyth had the largest number of cases filed in 1973. Among the counties that exceeded the statewide average for filings, the following counties had the largest percentage increases in filings between 1972 and 1973: Chowan (106.4%), Nash (59.2%), Caldwell (34.5%), Robeson (30.3%), and Alamance (25.3%).

Wake County disposed of the most cases in 1973, followed in order by Guilford, Forsyth, Cumberland, and Mecklenburg. Among the counties that exceeded the statewide average for dispositions, the following counties made the most significant increases over 1972: Johnston (119.8%), Alamance (76.9%), Union (52.0%), Caldwell (51.6%), and Carteret (51.1%).

The highest number of jury trials, 243, was held in Wake in 1973; Mecklenburg held 227, Guilford held 193, Gaston held 171, and Durham held 161. As would be expected, Mecklenburg led the State in the number of days of criminal court held (442), followed by Wake (396), Guilford (255), Buncombe (220), and Cumberland (207).

The 1971 Annual Report utilized pending ratios as a barometer of court performance for the first time. As already indicated, the pending ratio for 1973 is 32.3, suggesting that it would require less than 4 months to dispose of all cases pending. The pending ratio, of course, varies from county to county, and it is useful to apply that test to each county. The table at page 33 lists the 10 counties with the highest pending ratios.


CRIMINAL CASES ADDED AND DISPOSED OF IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

January 1, 1969 - December 31, 1973

Added	Disposed of	
1/1/69-12/31/69		33,818 33,456
1/1/70-12/31/70		37,001 32,724
1/1/71-12/31/71		39,138 37,150
1/1/72-12/31/72		40,293 42,524
1/1/73-12/31/73		42,359 44,636
(in thousands)	10 20 30 40	50

CRIMINAL CASES PENDING IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

December 31, 1969 - December 31, 1973



DISTRIBUTION OF PENDING CRIMINAL CASES AMONG THE COUNTIES

Cases	Less than 50	50-100	101-200	201-500	Over 500
Number of Counties 1972	28	23	27	15	7
1973	27	27	26	16	4

TEN COUNTIES WITH LARGEST CRIMINAL DOCKETS

County	Pending 1-1-73	Added	Disposed of	Pending 12-31-73	Percent of Filings Disposed of
*Mecklenburg	676	2,071	1,812	935	87.5
*Wake	1,511	2,989	3,658	842	122.4
*Alamance	763	921	1,070	614	116.2
*Guilford	561	2,378	2,373	566	99.8
*New Hanover	489	1,396	1,409	476	100.9
Wayne	364	79 6	684	476	85.9
*Pitt	671	820	1,035	456	126.2
*Forsyth	720	1,809	2,094	435	115.8
*Cumberland	486	1,836	1,955	367	106.5
Durham	346	1,023	1,023	346	100.0
STATE MEAN	167	424	446	144	105.2

^{*}Counties which were listed in this table in the 1972 Annual Report.

TEN COUNTIES WITH GREATEST PROPORTION OF CASES PENDING IN RELATION TO DISPOSITIONS¹

	Disposed of in 1973	Pending 12/31/73	Ratio
Person	115	166	144.3
McDowell	151	153	101.3
Wayne	684	476	69.6
Chowan	303	210	69.3
Columbus	367	245	66.8
Granville	217	145	66.8
Haywood	258	158	61.2
*Alamance	1,070	614	57.4
Mecklenburg	1,812	935	51.6
*Rowan	755	338	44.8
STATE MEAN	446	144	32.3

^{1—}Excluding counties with less than the statewide average of 144 cases pending on December 31, 1973.

PERCENT OF CASES TRIED IN THE DISTRICT COURT WHICH WERE APPEALED TO THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR TRIAL DE NOVO

Total number of cases tried in the District Court	379,306
Total number of cases appealed from the District Court for trial de novo in the Superior Court	20,268
Percent of cases tried in the District Court which were appealed to the Superior Court for trial de novo	5.34%
PERCENT OF SUPERIOR COURT FILINGS WHICH ARE CASES APPEALED FROM THE DISTRICT COURT FOR TRIAL DE NOVO	
Total number of cases filed in Superior Court within its original jurisdiction	22,091
Total number of cases filed in Superior Court upon appeal from District Court for trial de novo	20,268
Total number of cases filed in Superior Court	42,359
Percent of Superior Court Filings consisting of cases appealed from District Court for trial de novo	47.85%

^{*}Counties which were listed in this table in the 1972 Annual Report.

CRIMINAL CASES PENDING, ADDED, AND DISPOSED OF IN THE SUPERIOR COURTS BY JUDICIAL DISTRICTS

January 1, 1973 — December 31, 1973

	Gain or Loss	++ 147 ++ 49 55		++ + 19 +++ 35 + 11 35	153 + 16 + 23 - 215 - 361
	Pending 12/31/73	41 210 107 52		83 114 114 190	196 210 50 456 912
	Total	303 100 240	22 406 57 1,206	267 33 165 17 64 546	692 577 50 1,035 2,354
Cases Disposed of	Other	6 120 108	162 16 454	76 9 70 4 118	409 265 13 480 1.167
Cases 1	Plea	30 153 75 110	232 36 655	116 16 72 6 29 239	226 253 31 422 932
	Jury	30 11 22 73	12 5 97	75 8 23 7 129	57 59 133 255
	Total	56 450 149 235	49 420 54 1,413	248 40 130 18 75 511	539 561 73 820 1.993
Cases Filed	s¹ Felonies²	90 45 52	32 11 241	127 20 73 0 35 255	259 261 26 465
Ca	Misdemeanors¹ Felonies	51 360 104 183	43 388 43 1,172	121 20 57 18 40 256	280 300 47 355
_	Pending 1/1/73 1	63 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	32 116 27 386	102 4 79 10 30	349 226 27 671
	T.C			CT	CT
	IST DISTRICT	Camden Chowan Currituck Dare	Gates Pasquotank Perquimans TOTAL	2ND DISTRICT Beaufort Hyde Martin Tyrrell Washington	3RD DISTRICT Carteret Craven Pamlico Pitt

All cases on appeal from the district court for trial de novo.
 All cases except those appealed from the district court for trial de novo.

*34.5	Pendin 1/1/7 4TH DISTRICT	Duplin 48 Jones 17 Onslow 105 Sampson 50	TRICT	6TH DISTRICT 13 Bertie 55 Halifax 37 Hertford 37 Northampton 38 TOTAL 143	TTH DISTRICT Edgecombe 83 Nash 159 Wilson 126 TOTAL 368
Cases Filed	Pending 1/1/73 Misdemeanors¹ Felonies²	89 263 110 488		39 120 66 66 45	272 326 268 866
	s¹ Felonies²	87 390 190 680	961 97 1,058	50 161 78 100 389	143 333 278 754
	Total	176 39 653 300	1,396 1,554	281 1441 145 659	415 659 546 1,620
	Jury	19 83 39 149	88 11 96	22 14 14 59	36 41 48 125
Cases	Plea	119 16 307 154	831 104 9 35	33 132 76 45	253 473 293 1,019
Cases Disposed of	Other	258 258 74 74	493 74 567	32 101 53 61 24 7	129 170 170 469
-	Total	206 36 648 267	1,409 1,598	74 255 143 120 592	418 684 511 1,613
	Pending 12/31/73	110 110 83	476 56 532	28 81 38 63 210	80 134 161 375
	Gain or Loss	1++++		+++++	++

1. All cases on appeal from the district court for trial de novo.
2. All cases except those appealed from the district court for trial de novo.

Pen
1/1/73 Misdemeanors¹ Felonies²
44 61 45 110 176 285 364 343 453
280
246
230
74 92 55 55 561 55 561 55 561 55 561 55 561 561
1,511 1,565 1,424
103 85 177 262 206 20°
368
486 607 1,229 43 64 66
671

1. All cases on appeal from the district court for trial de novo.
2. All cases except those appealed from the district court for trial de novo.

	_	Case	Cases Filed			Cases	Cases Disposed of	_			
13TH DISTRICT	Pending 1/1/73	Pending 1/1/73 Misdemeanors¹ Felonies²	Felonies ²	Total	Jury	Plea	Other	Total	Pending 12/31/73	Gai	Gain or Loss
Bladen	94	112	101	213	22	95	85	202	105	+	11
Brunswick Columbus	120	192	268	462	84	147 206	91 118	263 367	136 245	+	4 %
TOTAL	420	418	480	868	90	448	294	832	486	- +	99
14TH DISTRICT											
Durham	346	500	814	1,023	161	483	379	1,023	346		0
15TH DISTRICT	to and the second of			-							
Alamance	763	317	604	921	75	285	710	1,070	614	1	149
Chatham Orange	172	7 38	1 4	282 582	: :4	86 162	386 386	269 588	91 166	11	9
TOTAL	1,036	643	1,119	1,762	130	533	1,264	1,927	871	1	165
16TH DISTRICT				1							
Robeson	137	336	481	817	116	394	164	674	280	+	143
Scotland	73	57	569	326	16	237	55	308	91	+	18
TOTAL	210	393	150	1,143	132	631	219	982	371	+	191
17TH DISTRICT								,			
Caswell	134	92	99	158	233	101	88	192	48	1-	34
Stokes	108	110	57	167	382	66	14	191	848	+	24.
Surry	454	324 1,317	135 258	1,575	217	285	132 438	471 1,570	132 459	+	2 2 2
				_				_			

. All cases on appeal from the district court for trial de novo.

All cases except those appealed from the district court for trial de novo.

1	Loss	64 5	193 1 32 150 376	27 97 53 348	285
,	٥	++	11111	++	1
	Pending 12/31/73	353 213 566	197 102 173 338 810	100 90 138 105 247 680	435
-	Total	1,762 611 2,373	1,073 251 521 755 2,600	214 220 444 349 699 1,926	2,094
Cases Disposed of	Other	691 232 923	494 123 225 391 1,233	102 97 212 142 357 910	1,149
Cases	Plea	922 335 1,257	530 107 226 310 1,173	84 204 191 245 836	837
11	Jury	149 44 193	49 21 70 54 194	28 11 28 16 97	108
	Total	1,698 680 2,378	880 250 489 605 2,224	241 223 347 296 471 1,578	1,809
Cases Filed	¹ Felonies ²	1,132 518 1,650	441 119 205 300 1,065	108 142 208 128 237 823	888
Cas	Pending 1/1/73 Misdemeanors ¹	566 162 728	439 131 284 305 1,159	133 81 139 168 234 755	921
_	Pending 1/1/73 M	417 144 561	390 103 205 488 1,186	73 87 235 158 475 1,028	720
	18TH DISTRICT	Guilford— Greensboro High Point TOTAL	19TH DISTRICT Cabarrus Montgomery Randolph Rowan TOTAL	Anson Moore Richmond Stanly Union TOTAL	21ST DISTRICT Forsyth

1. All cases on appeal from the district court for trial de novo.
2. All cases except those appealed from the district court for trial de novo.

		Ü	Cases Filed	-		Cases	Cases Disposed of				
	Pending 1/1/73	Pending 1/1/73 Misdemeanors¹ Felonies²	rs1 Felonies2	Total	Jura	Plea	Other	Total	Pending	Gai	Gain or
22ND DISTRICT									61/16/21	3	2
Alexander	52	160	19	179	9	54	68	149	82	+	30
Davidson	195	482	322	804	32	541	253	826	173	-	22
Davie	29	170	31	201	12	111	80	203	65	1	07
Iredell	203	535	202	742	71	468	293	832	113	1	06
TOTAL	217	1,347	579	1,926	121	1,174	715	2,010	433	1	2
23RD DISTRICT											
Alleghany	٣	18	96	- 44	17	9	M	S	5	-	ì
Ashe	19	36	22	; 86 86	. C	5.7	3.5	8 6 6	22	+ +	15 -
Wilkes	52	225	116	341	73	97	182	352	34	- 1	1=
Yadkin	\$	47	83	16	7	26	30	63	37	+	1 55
TOTAL	101	326	223	549	96	187	248	531	119	+	18
24TH DISTRICT											
Avery	20	43	19	62	9	35	c:	4	86	4	8
Madison	69	51	09	111	23	21	49	88	87	-+	18
Mitchell	17	21	17	88	4	œ	œ	20	35	+	18
Watauga	90	45	23	118	က	20	51	106	72	+	12
rancey TOTAI	183	181	181	20 eg	w =	13.4 19.4	15	331	61	+-	018
MOIGHBIR IIMS			1	7	į	77	150	467	107	+	200
ZaTH DISTRICT								-			
Burke	151	123	108	231	39	120	165	324	58	١	93
Caldwell	136	247	221	468	53	199	218	470	134	1	2
Catawba	196	420	397	817	92	357	361	794	219	+	23
TOTAL	483	730	726	1,516	168	929	744	1,588	411	1	72
26TH DISTRICT											
Mecklenburg	929	926	1,095	2,071	227	781	804	1,812	935	+	259
1. All cases on appeal fro	=	t court for tr	ial de novo.							•	
z. All cases except those	-	appeared from the district court for trial de novo.	court for	triai de nov	.0.						

All cases on appeal from the district court for trial de novo.

All cases except those appealed from the district court for trial de novo.

	-	Ca	Cases Filed			Cases	Cases Disposed of				
27TH DISTRICT	Pending 1/1/73 n	Pending 1/1/73 Misdemeanors¹ Felonies²	's¹ Felonies²	Total	Jury	Plea	Other	Total	Pending 12/31/73	<u> </u>	Gain or Loss
Cleveland Gaston Lincoln TOTAL	145 592 88 88	96 257 144 497	165 417 190 772	261 674 334 1,269	45 171 19 235	178 388 145 711	125 504 119 748	348 1,063 283 1,694	58 203 139 400	+	87 389 51 425
Buncombe	379	375	634	1,009	105	471	209	1,083	305	ı	74
Henderson McDowell Polk Rutherford Transylvania	122 28 100 368	56 115 19 110 28 328	2111 67 66 224 66 634	262 334 334 962 962	32 50 9 12 162	126 48 38 133 34 379	112 53 47 138 24 374	270 151 94 330 70	84 153 19 104 55 415	+ +++	31 9 44 47
30TH DISTRICT Cherokee Clay Graham Haywood Jackson Macon Swain	22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	67 22 132 56 56 58 25 330	89 6 7 1167 133 40 38	156 129 299 189 64 63 63	7 000000	78 10 11 158 89 29 20 395	57 3 91 88 38 38 289	142 13 37 258 139 65 64 718	60 7 26 158 74 19 37 381	+ ++ +	14 88 80 11 95 11
GRAND TOTAL Percent	16,676	20,268	22,091 52.2	42,359 100.0	4,221 9.5	21,288 47.7	19,127 42.8	100.0	14,399	 	2,277

All cases on appeal from the district court for trial de novo.
 All cases except those appealed from the district court for trial de novo.

UTILIZATION OF CRIMINAL SUPERIOR COURT TERMS BY JUDICIAL DISTRICTS

1973 Calendar Year

	2010 001011			
1ST DISTRICT	Days Scheduled	Days Held	Days Unused	% Used
Camden	10	7	3	70.0
Chowan	34	281/2	51/2	83.8
Currituck	15	12	3 /2	80.0
Dare	50	261/2	231/2	53.0
Gates	10	7'2	3'2	70.0
Pasquotank	45	33	12	73.3
Perquimans	15	111/2	31/2	76.7
TOTAL	179	1251/2	531/2	70.1
2ND DISTRICT				
Beaufort	40	36	4	90.0
Hyde	15	101/2	41/2	70.0
Martin	20	17	3	85.0
Tyrrell	10	4	6	40.0
Washington	15	91/2	$5\frac{1}{2}$	63.3
TOTAL	100	77	23	77.0
3RD DISTRICT				
Carteret	45	391/2	$5\frac{1}{2}$	87.8
Craven	79	57	22	72.2
Pamlico	7	5	2	71.4
Pitt	120	90	30	75.0
TOTAL	251	1911/2	591/2	76. 3
4TH DISTRICT				
Duplin	79	57	22	72.2
Jones	20	10	10	50.0
Onslow	109	811/2	271/2	74.8
Sampson	54	$37\frac{1}{2}$	16½	69.4
TOTAL	262	186	76	71.0
5TH DISTRICT				
	400	1001/	2017	07.0
New Hanover	192	1681/2	231/2	87.8 80.0
Pender	2 5	20	5	
TOTAL	217	1881/2	281/2	86.9
6TH DISTRICT			9-18	
Bertie	18	13	5	72 .2
Halifax	30	26	4	86.7
Hertford	15	9	6	60.0
Northampton	23	191/2	31/2	84.8
TOTAL	86	671/2	181/2	78.5
7TH DISTRICT				
Edgecombe	44	40	4	90.9
Nash	65	57	8	87.7
Wilson	45	40	5	88.9
TOTAL	154	137	17	89.0

	Days Scheduled	Days Held	Days Unused	% Used
8TH DISTRICT	Day's scheduled	Day's Helu	Day's Chasea	70 CJC2
Greene	15	$13\frac{1}{2}$	11/2	90.0
Lenoir	75	69	6	92.0 91.6
Wayne TOTAL	113 203	103½ 186	9½ 17	91.6
	203	100	11	31.0
9TH DISTRICT				
Franklin Granville	40 20	31 16	9	77.5 80.0
Person	20	20	0	100.0
Vance	29	25	4	86.2
Warren	19	$14\frac{1}{2}$	$4\frac{1}{2}$	76.3
TOTAL	128	$106\frac{1}{2}$	$21\frac{1}{2}$	83.2
10TH DISTRICT				
Wake	435	$395\frac{1}{2}$	$39\frac{1}{2}$	90.9
11TH DISTRICT				
Harnett	39	291/2	91/2	75.6
Johnston	73	69	4	94.5
Lee	30	$25\frac{1}{2}$	41/2	85.0
TOTAL	142	124	18	87.3
12TH DISTRICT				
Cumberland	228	$206\frac{1}{2}$	211/2	90.6
Hoke	15	13	2	86.7
TOTAL	243	$219\frac{1}{2}$	$23\frac{1}{2}$	90.3
13TH DISTRICT				
Bladen	15	15	0	100.0
Brunswick Columbus	30 31	$28\frac{1}{2} \ 27\frac{1}{2}$	1½	95.0 88.7
TOTAL	76	71	3½ 5	93.4
	10	••	•	JU.4
14TH DISTRICT	400	4-1	- 4	
Durham	192	174	18	90.6
15TH DISTRICT			İ	
Alamance	103	91	12	88.3
Chatham	$\begin{array}{c} 24 \\ 64 \end{array}$	20½ 35	$\begin{array}{c} 3\frac{1}{2} \\ 29 \end{array}$	85.4 54.7
Orange TOTAL	191	146½	44½	76.7
16TH DISTRICT	101	14072	1 1 / 2	10.1
		*		
Robeson Scotland	120 20	90	30 1	75.0
TOTAL	140	19 109	31	95.0 77.9
	140	103	31	11.5
17TH DISTRICT			les .	
Caswell	24 74	$\frac{19\frac{1}{2}}{671}$	41/2	81.3 91.2
Rockingham Stokes	20	$\frac{67\frac{1}{2}}{18}$	$\frac{6\frac{1}{2}}{2}$	90.0
Surry	54	47	7	87.0
TOTAL	172	152	20	88.4

18TH DISTRICT	Days Scheduled	Days Held	Days Unused	% Used
Guilford	293	255	38	87.0
19TH DISTRICT				
Cabarrus	100	75	25	75.0
Montgomery	15	12	3	80.0
Randolph	70	54	16	77.1
Rowan	65	$51\frac{1}{2}$	$13\frac{1}{2}$	79.2
TOTAL	250	1921/2	571/2	77.0
20TH DISTRICT				
Anson	35	22	13	62.9
Moore	30	22	8	73.3
Richmond	65	52	13	80.0
Stanly	30	2 5	5 5	83.3 92.9
Union	70	65		
TOTAL	230	186	44	80.9
21ST DISTRICT				
Forsyth	214	180	34	84.1
22ND DISTRICT				
Alexander	12	91/2	21/2	79.2
Davidson	65	$55\frac{1}{2}$	$9\frac{i}{2}$	85.4
Davie	20	18	2	90.0
Iredell	79	69	10	87.3
TOTAL	176	152	24	86.4
23RD DISTRICT				
Alleghany	5	$4\frac{1}{2}$	1/2	90.0
Ashe	14	11	3	78.6
Wilkes	35	34	1	97.1
Yadkin	12	11	1	91.7
TOTAL	66	60½	$5\frac{1}{2}$	91.7
24TH DISTRICT				
Avery	5	$2\frac{1}{2}$	$2\frac{1}{2}$	50.0
Madison	10	8	2	80.0
Mitchell	.8	6	2	75.0
Watauga	15	12	3	80.0
Yancey	10	41/2	51/2	45.0
TOTAL	48	33	15	68.8
25TH DISTRICT				
Burke	79	69	10	87.3
Caldwell	64	45	19	70.3
Catawba	105	821/2	$22\frac{1}{2}$	78.6
TOTAL	248	196½	511/2	79.2
26TH DISTRICT				
Mecklenburg	484	442	42	91.3

27TH DISTRICT	Days Scheduled	Days Held	Days Unused	% Used
Cleveland Gaston Lincoln	75 208 38 321	$71 \\ 183 \\ 34\frac{1}{2} \\ 288\frac{1}{2}$	25 3½ 3½	94.7 88.0 90.8 89.9
28TH DISTRICT Buncombe	238	219½	181/2	92.2
29TH DISTRICT Henderson McDowell Polk Rutherford Transylvania TOTAL	40 34 15 35 20	37½ 29 10½ 32 13½ 12½	2½ 5 4½ 3 6½ 21½	93.8 85.3 70.0 91.4 67.5 85.1
Cherokee Clay Graham Haywood Jackson Macon Swain TOTAL	13 5 15 24 18 8 13 96	9½ 1½ 7 15 14½ 5 9½ 62	3½ 3½ 8 9 3½ 3 3½ 3 34	73.1 30.0 46.7 62.5 80.6 62.5 73.1 64.6
GRAND TOTAL	5,979	5,047	932	84.4

THE DISTRICT COURT DIVISION

The district court has now been operational in all 100 counties for three full years. It has operated in 83 counties for 5 years and in 22 counties for 7 years. The total of civil and criminal filings increased by 4.4% and total dispositions increased by 3.0%. The total number of cases pending increased by 12.0%. These figures appear to reflect normal growth trends for this high-volume court. Much of this increase is attributable to the civil dockets where filings increased by 15.2% affecting an increase of 22.8% in the number of civil cases pending. There has been no significant change from last year in the ratio between criminal cases pending at the end of the year and dispositions for the year. The ratio for criminal cases is 8.7 indicating that it would require about one month for the district court to dispose of its criminal docket. The ratio for civil cases increased from 28.3 to 33.5, the estimated time needed to dispose of all civil cases increasing from three and one-half months to four months.

The total number of days of district court held in 1973 increased by 2.2% over 1972, the number of civil days increasing from $5,404\frac{1}{2}$ to $5,728\frac{1}{2}$ and the number of criminal days increasing from $10,852\frac{1}{2}$ to $10,893\frac{1}{2}$. Upon assignment by the Chief Justice, district judges held $334\frac{1}{2}$ days of court in judicial districts other than their own, up from 248 such days in 1972.

The tables relating to juvenile proceedings are found on pages 66 and 74. The number of new cases opened (children before the court for the first time) increased from 13,341 to 14,514 or 8.8%. The number of adjudicatory hearings increased from 23,796 to 25,818 or 8.5%. The composition of the caseload varies little from year to year: delinquency accounted for 62.1%, undisciplined for 22.4%, dependency for 6.7% and neglect for 8.8%. The bulk of delinquency charges were for misdemeanors (64.4%), 20.6% were for felonies, 14.7% were for violations of probation, and .3% were for capital felonies. The number of undisciplined charges which were for truancy dropped from 46.1% to 36.7%.

DISTRICT COURT CIVIL DOCKETS

Civil filings increased by 15.2% but dispositions increased by only 5.9%, resulting in an increase of 22.8% in the number of cases pending at the end of the year. The rate of disposition was 94.1% (fewer cases were disposed of than were filed).

Among all 100 counties, the 10 counties with the largest civil dockets accounted for 49.5% of the total civil cases pending. Fifty-three counties had 300 or fewer cases pending at the end of the year and 13 counties had more than 1,000 pending. As stated above, the ratio of pending cases to the year's disposi-


tions is 33.5, indicating that the docket could be disposed of in about four months.

Of the 171,368 cases filed in 1973, 64.9% were small claims, 13.5% were domestic cases, and 21.6% were regular civil actions in which a hearing before a district court judge was requested. Of the 161,342 cases which were disposed of, 19.6% were handled by a judge without a jury, a jury was impaneled in 1.3% of the cases, magistrates disposed of 63.6%, and the remaining 15.5% were disposed of by other means.

When the plaintiff so requests, claims for \$300 or less are subject to assignment to a magistrate. Magistrates continue to dispose of almost all of these small claims. In 1973, magistrates disposed of 92.1% of such claims.

CIVIL CASES ADDED AND DISPOSED OF IN THE DISTRICT COURT

January 1, 1971 - December 31, 1973



CIVIL CASES PENDING IN THE DISTRICT COURT

December 31, 1971 - December 31, 1973

12/31/71

12/31/72

12/31/73

(in thousands)

12/31/73

30 35 40 45 50 55

DISTRIBUTION OF PENDING CIVIL CASESAMONG THE COUNTIES

Number of Cases	Less than 100	101-300	301-500	501-1000	Over 1000
Number of Counties 1972	30	28	18	17	7
19 73	26	27	17	17	13

TEN COUNTIES WITH LARGEST CIVIL DOCKETS

County	Pending 1-1-73	Added	Disposed of	Pending 12-31-73	Percent of Filings Disposed of
*Mecklenburg	4,986	17,581	14,453	8,114	82.2
*Wake	3,260	11,452	10,683	4,029	93.3
*Guilford	3,490	15,874	15,876	3,488	100.0
*Surry	1,660	3,175	2,538	2,297	79.9
Durham	406	8,975	7,207	2,174	80.3
*Gaston	1,402	4,624	4,509	1,517	97.5
*Cumberland	1,563	5,365	5,593	1,335	104.2
*New Hanover	1,219	3,123	3,017	1,325	96.6
*Person	992	1,443	1,133	1,302	78.5
Robeson	854	3,220	2,898	1,176	90.0
STATE MEAN	440	1,713	1,613	540	94.2

^{*}Counties which were listed in this table in the 1972 Annual Report.

CIVIL CASES PENDING, ADDED, AND DISPOSED OF IN THE DISTRICT COURTS BY TYPE OF CASE AND MANNER OF DISPOSITION

January 1, 1973 — December 31, 1973

	Cain or Loss	16 62 8 8 8 8 8 8	97 1 3 35 135
ç	53	++++ ++	+++ ++
	Fending 12/31/73	8 68 44 153 153 164 31 31 553	388 111 214 177 705
	Total	296 139 139 173 173 2,004	1,175 67 897 72 377 2,588
J.	Other	42 61 19 28 22 163 9 344	80 10 68 2 2 51 211
Cases Disposed of	By Magistrate	72 174 104 115 142 683 92 1,382	917 47 721 54 289 2,028
Case	By Judge Magistrate	258 16 47 47 104 245	154 10 98 15 33
	By Jury	3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000	24 0 10 1 4 33
	Total	100 302 153 235 204 947 125 2,066	1,272 68 900 711 412 2,723
	Other	11 118 23 60 30 183 20 445	135 13 106 1 66
Cases Filed	Domestic ²	26 51 22 126 9 245	167 11 63 16 45 302
	Small Claims ¹	78 184 104 124 152 638 96 1,376	970 44 731 54 301 2,100
_	Pending 1/1/73	22 30 40 190 190 190 190 190	291 10 211 18 40 570
	IST DISTRICT	Camden Chowan Currituck Dare Gates Pasquotank Perquimans TOTAL	2ND DISTRICT Beaufort Hyde Martin Tyrrell Washington TOTAL

^{1.} Includes claims for \$300 or less where the principal relief requested is one of those listed in G.S. 7A-210(2) and the plaintiff has requested assignment to a magistrate.

Includes domestic relations cases as defined by G.S. 7A-244.

	Gain or Loss	85	12	8 %		49	26	19	177		106	5 6 83		8	24	\$ 4	88
	G=	-	1+	+		1-	++	-	+		+	+		+	+	+	+
	Pending 12/31/73	279	42	800 1,689		216	966	158	1,387		1,325	1.618		169	518	246	1,256
-	Total	926	188	2,501		1,218	2.399	1,340	5,070		3,017	3,612		568	1,456	402	2,907
Jo	Other	208	19	1,037		144	185	200	537		45	549		100	108	9	373
Cases Disposed of	By lagistrate	439	148	1,591 2,920		448	1,617	696	3,507		1,513	1,887		405	1,098	287	2,022
Cases	By Judge Magistrate	260	ដូដ	485 1,290		220	576	158	982		1,003	1,110		52	241	2	476
	By Jury 1	119	308	0 4		10	22	13	4		57	99		#	, II	ည	36
_	Total	827	200	2,521 5,115		1,169	2,618	1,321	5,247		3,123	3,705		588	433	442	2,996
	Other	182 383	33	1,045		144	143	182	227		117	629		142	121	25	454
ases Filed	Domestic*	241	200	942		181	266	150	897		663	730		18	177	62	388
3	Small Claims ¹	404	159	3,128		844	1,909	686	3,823		1,918	2,316		428	225	328	2,154
-	Pending 1/1/73	378	86	1,895		265	747	177	1,210		1,219	1,525		149	371	206	1,167
	3RD DISTRICT	Carteret	Pamlico Ditt	TOTAL	4TH DISTRICT	Duplin Jones	Onslow	Sampson	TOTAL	5TH DISTRICT	New Hanover Pender	TOTAL	6TH DISTRICT	Bertie	Hertford	Northampton	TOTAL

1. Includes claims for \$300 or less where the principal relief requested is one of those listed in G.S. 7A-210(2) and the plaintiff has requested assignment to a magistrate.

2. Includes domestic relations cases as defined by G.S. 7A-244.

	Gain or Loss	1	16 39	32	87		17	75	737	324		78	310	155	48	245		492	
	Ğ Ğ		++	+	+		+	+-	+	+		++	+	-1	1	+		+	
	Pending 12/31/73	1	384 384 384	382	1,024		130	449	1,084	1,663		574	1.302	581	176	2,873		4,029	
	Total		2,350	2,591	669'9		286	2,476	2,697	5,459		621	1.133	2,098	388	5,145	-	10,683	
of	Other		139 212	202	553		28	425	673	1,126		82	6	119	37	312		2,163	
Cases Disposed of	By Magistrate		1,915	2,099	5,119		666	1,693	1,449	3,364		452	266	1,847	585	4,358		6,075	
Case	By Judge Magistrate		278 365	268	911		33	322	535	890		82	96	116	89	455		2,371	
	By Jury		18 76	22	116		er.	36	40	46		07.0	-	16	1	20		74	
-	Total		2,366	2,623	6,786		303	2,551	2,929	5,783	 	669	905 1 443	1,943	340	5,390	 	11,452	
	Other		213	244	734		70	472	938	1,437		117	20 P	283	26	638		3,492	
Cases Filed	Domestic ²		206	261	840		9	323	540	903		111	¥ 5	11	26	284		1,585	
	Small Claims		1,947	2.118	5,212		966	1,756	1,451	3,443		471	208	1,649	258	4,468		6,375	
	Pending 1/1/73		242	350	937		110	374	852	1,339		496	080	736	224	2,628		3,260	
		7TH DISTRICT	Edgecombe	Wilson	TOTAL	STH DISTRICT		Greene Lenoir	Wayne	TOTAL	9TH DISTRICT	Franklin	Granville	Vance	Warren	TOTAL	10TH DISTRICT	Wake	

1. Includes claims for \$300 or less where the principal relief requested is one of those listed in G.S. 7A-210(2) and the plaintiff has requested assignment to a magistrate.

^{2.} Includes domestic relations cases as defined by G.S. 7A-244.

	or	8018	8 4 .	4	70 44 6	9 90	∞ ∞ ∞	4
	Gain o Loss	33 221 6 182	228	R	51 22 22 E	1,768	128 18 348	49
	9=	+ +	1+	1	++++	- +	+++	+
	Pending 12/31/73	634 597 494 1,725	1,335	1,540	220 284 665	2,174	549 73 555	1,177
,	Total	1,574 1,795 1,399 4,768	5,593	9,944	750 721 1,353	7,207	2,838 1,446 642	4,926
of	Other	326 358 202 886	874 146	1,020	102 125 203 430	1,739	368 174 128	670
Cases Disposed of	By fagistrate	969 1.072 957 2,998	3,141	706,6	559 467 760	4,421	1,847 1,141 380	3,368
Case	By Judge Magistrate	252 354 231 837	1,480	016,1	69 101 361	1,011	602 122 127	821
	By Jury	27 11 9 47	86 8	101	7 58 50 14 58 50 14 58 50	36	21 9	37
,	Total	1,541 2,016 1,393 4,950	5,365 375	0,/40	765 775 1,437 2,977	8,975	2,966 1,464 990	5,420
	Other	274 838 201 1,313	733 176	606	117 172 297 586	1,582	346 72 241	629
Cases Filed	Domestic ²	263 27 193 483	1,549	1,043	79 282 454	1,151	693 102 245	1,040
) · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Small Claims ¹	1,004 1,151 999 3,154	3,083	7076	569 510 858 1.937	6,242	1,927 1,290 504	3,721
red ster	Pending 1/1/73	976 376 1,543	1,563	1	205 230 581	406	421 55 207	683
	Fendi IIIH DISTRICT	Harnett Johnston Lee TOTAL	Cumberland Hoke	13TH DISTRICT	Bladen Brunswick Columbus	14TH DISTRICT Durham	Alamance Chatham Orange	TOTAL

1. Includes claims for \$300 or less where the principal relief requested is one of those listed in G.S. 7A-210(2) and the plaintiff has requested assignment to a magistrate.

^{2.} Includes domestic relations cases as defined by G.S. 7A-244.

		Ĭ	Cases Filed				Cas	Cases Disposed of	of			1	
16TH DISTRICT	Pending 1/1/73	Small Claims ¹	Domestic ²	Other	Total	By Jury	By Judge	By Magistrate	Other	Total	Pending 12/31/73	Cal	Gain or Loss
Robeson Scotland	854 175	2,243	58 36	919 232	3,220	50	508 145	2,077 489	263 83	2,898	1,176	++	322 46
TOTAL	1,029	2,750	94	1,151	3,995	62	653	2,566	346	3,627	1,397	+	368
17TH DISTRICT					_					-			
Caswell Rockingham	76 586	403	51	32 299	486 2,274	212	382 382	340	128 285	2,135	50 725 155	++	26 139 73
Surry	1,660	528 2,612	102 269	294 294	3,175	၁ တ္ထ	207	1,950	343	2,538	2,297	+	637
TOTAL	2,404	2,060	880	629	6,619	67	722	4,210	797	5,796	3,227	+	823
18TH DISTRICT										magadirini			
Guilford— Greensboro High Point	3,009	7,064	1,415	2,473	10,952	134 53	1,949 874	7,135	1,986	11,204 4,672	2,757	+	252 250
TOTAL	3,490	10,830	2,143	2,901	15,874	187	2,823	10,558	2,308	15,876	3,488	1	8
19TH DISTRICT					de apagazant de 14					the deposit of the			
Cabarrus Montgomery	653	1,318	460 0	652 84	2,430 562	52 2	401	1,148 453	950 18	2,524 533	559 106	1+	294
Randolph Rowan	523 683	966 1.155	329 425	188 287	1,483	13 35	381 315	834 1,072	254 217	1,482 1,639	530 911	++	1 228
TOTAL	1,942	3,917	1,214	1,211	6,342	75	1,157	3,507	1,439	6,178	2,106	+	164

1. Includes claims for \$300 or less where the principal relief requested is one of those listed in G.S. 7A-210(2) and the plaintiff has requested assignment to a magistrate.

Includes domestic relations cases as defined by G.S. 7A-244.

			Cases Filed		-		Cas	Cases Disposed of	of				
20TH DISTRICT	Pending 1/1/73	Small Claims ¹	Domestic ²	Other	Total	By Jury	By Judge	By Judge Magistrate	Other	Total	Pending 12/31/73	Gain	n or
Anson	182	426	86	45	557	č	138	344	25	508	231	+-	49
Richmond	377	970	258	124	010	3 2	215	567	2 2	200	311	++	38
Stanly	347	962	183	182	1,327	8 ∞	164	916	170	1.258	416	- +	69
Union	547	824	315	245	1,384	56	295	908	226	1,353	578	+	31
TOTAL	1,739	3,344	863	829	2,066	77	1,003	3,127	296	4,803	2,002	+	263
21ST DISTRICT													
Forsyth	932	4,804	1,641	1,561	8,006	112	1,677	4,573	1,453	7,815	1,123	+	191
22ND DISTRICT													
Alexander Davidson	394	272 935	89	47	387	6	509	266	348	364	336	+	23
Davie Iredell	288	137	242	129 313	266	243	300	134	252	227	126	++	188
TOTAL	821	3,024	310	1,423	4,757	80	926	2,914	615	4,585	993	+	172
23RD DISTRICT													
Alleghany	35	397	38	97	532	9	85	329	51	498	69	+	34
Ashe	\$ 5	151	53	56	7,00	- 1	75	150	46	272	72	-	12
Wilkes Yadkin	104	339	88 88	452 83	1,422	15 6	202 203 203	343	614 56	1,421 489	348 123	++	19
TOTAL	220	1,672	362	889	2,722	28	446	1,439	167	2,680	612	+	42

1. Includes claims for \$300 or less where the principal relief requested is one of those listed in G.S. 7A-210(2) and the plaintiff has requested assignment to a magistrate.

[.] Includes domestic relations cases as defined by G.S. 7A-244.

	-		Cases Filed				Case	Cases Disposed	of				
	Pending 1/1/73	Small Claims ¹	Domestic ²	Other	Total	By Jury	By Judge Magistrate	By Magistrate	Other	Total	Pending 12/31/73	Gain	in or
24TH DISTRICT													
Avery	4	148	39	152	339	0	42	166	103	311	72	+.	82
Madison	11	39	20	28	87	0	29	8	19	85	16	+	2
Mitchell	52	87	26	127	240	-	105	81	28	215	22	+	25
Watauga	89	132	56	114	302	2	73	127	103	302	65		က
Yancey	17	77	26	37	170	1	75	26	81	213	32	1	43
TOTAL	252	483	197	458	1,138	4	324	464	334	1,126	264	+	12
25TH DISTRICT					-								
Burke	385	522	359	237	1,118	48	339	517	154	1,058	445	+	09
Caldwell	272	1,138	28	321	1,487	25	202	1,055	128	1,410	349	+	77
Catawba	629	1,174	592	494	2,260	37	280	1,168	523	2,308	110	-	40
TOTAL	1,316	2,834	979	1,052	4,865	110	1,121	2,740	802	4,776	1,405	+	£
26TH DISTRICT													
Mecklenburg	4,986	10,799	461	6,321	17,581	162	2,957	9,179	2,155	14,453	8,114	+	3,128
27TH DISTRICT	-												
Cleveland	296	1,272	394	180	1,846	9	380	1,273	145	1,804	338	+-	42
Gaston	1,402	2,955	54	1,615	4,624	4.	1,136	2,978	351	4,509 766	1,517	++	cII 26
Lincoln	SS.	996	98	130	761	4	711	2 1	111		7		
TOTAL	1,787	4,793	244	1,925	7,262	54	1,688	4,724	613	7,079	1,970	+	10 3
28TH DISTRICT													
Buncombe	821	1,710	371	1,192	3,273	52	1,048	1,660	268	3,028	1,066	+	245

^{1.} Includes claims for \$300 or less where the principal relief requested is one of those listed in G.S. 7A-210(2) and the plaintiff has requested assignment to a magistrate.

^{2.} Includes domestic relations cases as defined by G.S. 7A-244.

	or	E884754	600 113 e 22 23 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	9
	Gain or Loss	234 234 234 234		10,05
		++ + +	+ ++	+
	Pending 12/31/73	383 156 24 391 196 1,150	69 4 4 8 1155 773 1199 88 88 88	54,015
/	Total	736 452 129 1,019 486 2,822	233 106 49 644 262 151 96 1,541	161,342 100.0
Jo	Other	123 80 25 85 55 368	95 2 2 44 44 16 8 8	25,026 15.5
Cases Disposed of	By Magistrate	299 237 61 688 292 1,577	83 92 310 126 77 58	102,561 63.6
Case	By Judge R	290 118 43 226 127 804	53 114 268 91 28 28 28 28	31,707 19.6
	By Jury 1	24 17 20 12 73	20 0 0 0 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	2,048
		0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0004000	x 0
_	Total	787 490 11,253 409 3,056	239 93 39 584 233 173 1,487	171,36 8 100.0
	Other	158 67 7 153 92 477	47 8 0 0 65 73 76 18 281	36,986 21.6
Cases Filed	Domestic ²	257 164 35 294 110 860	60 116 197 34 33 33 367	23,079 13.5
	Small Claims ¹	372 259 75 806 207 1,719	132 83 23 322 126 72 74 75	111,303 64.9
-	Pending 1/1/73	332 118 36 157 273 916	63 117 118 2118 102 97 58 58	43,989
	29TH DISTRICT	Henderson McDowell Polk Rutherford Transylvania	20TH DISTRICT Cherokee Clay Graham Haywood Jackson Macon Swain	GRAND TOTAL 43,989 Percent

1. Includes claims for \$300 or less where the principal relief requested is one of those listed in G.S. 7A-210(2) and the plaintiff has requested assignment to a magistrate.

^{2.} Includes domestic relations cases as defined by G.S. 7A-244.

DISTRICT COURT CRIMINAL DOCKETS

Criminal filings were up from 1,000,893 in 1972 to 1,028,532 in 1973, an increase of 2.8%. Dispositions increased from 998,389 to 1,023,310 up 2.5%. The number of cases pending at the end of the year increased by 5,222 to 88,632, up 6.3%.

For the first time, both filings and dispositions in the criminal division have exceeded one million cases. Twenty-one of the 100 counties had more than 1,000 cases pending at the end of the year and 41 counties had 300 or fewer pending. The 10 counties with the largest criminal dockets accounted for 51.6% of the total number of criminal cases pending. The rate of disposition was 99.5%.


From year to year, the percentage breakdown for the types of cases handled and the manner of dispositions remains fairly constant. In 1973, 64.4% (662,545) of all criminal cases filed were for violations of the traffic laws. Other criminal offenses made up the remaining 35.6% (365,987). Only 9.5% of the cases disposed of were contested, requiring a full-fledged trial before a district court judge. A judge or magistrate disposed of 27.6% upon a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, and 45.1% were disposed of by a written appearance waiving trial and pleading guilty before a magistrate or clerk. Preliminary hearings constituted 1.9% of the criminal docket and the remaining 15.9% of total dispositions were terminated by other means.

Since mid-1971 the uniform traffic ticket and complaint has been in use by all law enforcement officials; the ticket contains detailed instructions on the use of the waiver procedure. In 1970, 63.0% of motor vehicle cases were disposed of by waiver; in 1971, 65.7%; in 1972, 69.4%; and in 1973, 69.6%. There are still a few counties where this procedure is still not fully utilized; greater use of the waiver in those counties would tend to alleviate some courtroom congestion and delay.

All statistical measures continue to indicate more than satisfactory performance by the criminal district court. As already indicated, the ratio of criminal cases pending at the end of the year to dispositions for the year is 8.7. This ratio indicates that it would require about one month for the court to dispose of its criminal docket.


CRIMINAL CASES ADDED AND DISPOSED OF IN THE DISTRICT COURT

January 1, 1971 - December 31, 1973



CRIMINAL CASES PENDING IN THE DISTRICT COURT

December 31, 1971 - December 31, 1973



DISTRIBUTION OF PENDING CRIMINAL CASES AMONG THE COUNTIES

Number of Cases	Less than 100	101-300	301-500	501-1000	Over 1000
Number of Counties 1972	14	31	11	27	17
1973	11	30	14	24	21

TEN COUNTIES WITH LARGEST CRIMINAL DOCKETS

County	Pending 1-1-73	Added	Disposed of	Pending 12-31-73	Percent of Filings Disposed of
*Wake	10,853	75,399	75,565	10,687	100.2
*Mecklenburg	7,937	86,750	85,267	9,420	98.3
*Guilford	11,176	65,929	67,763	9,342	102.8
*New Hanover	1,381	21,861	19,980	3,262	91.4
*Cumberland	3,117	43,649	43,831	2,935	100.4
*Gaston	2,428	29,277	29,014	2,691	99.1
*Buncombe	1,767	28,015	27,463	2,319	98.0
*Forsyth	1,763	47,907	47,744	1,926	99.7
*Davidson	1,468	16,929	16,784	1,613	99.1
Caldwell	686	10,288	9,416	1,558	91.5
STATE MEAN	834	10,285	10,233	886	99.5

^{*}Counties which were listed in this table in the 1972 Annual Report.

CRIMINAL CASES PENDING, ADDED, AND DISPOSED OF IN THE DISTRICT COURTS BY TYPE OF CASE AND MANNER OF DISPOSITION

January 1, 1973 — December 31, 1973

	Gain or Loss		41	3 6	8,	Ç,	15	16	32	121			304	24	50	- د	217	610	
	ğ 1	1 + -	+-1		+-	+-	+-	+	+	+			1-	+	1 +	-		1	
	Pending 12/31/73		921	107	121	118	101	161	98	858			251	99 180	601	101	607	20c	
	Total		597	1,300	2,472	1,932	1,250	3,354	871	12,381		_	6,436	210	4,410	1 691	1,041	13,320	
	Otherwise		200	677	192	367	93	291	99	1,288			1,140	77.7	901	74.5	140	2,211	
Cases Disposed of	Preliminary B Hearing		e 2	282	123	20	6	417	10	1,016			248	00	000	2	400	361	
Cases D	By Wavier		391	869	1,445	798	834	1,659	558	6,343			2,848	210	2,183	133	954	6,328	
	By Plea ²		06	212	432	552	153	738	147	2,624			1,877	131	818	139	255	3,220	
	By Judge ¹		63	172	230	145	161	249	06	1,110			323	$\frac{239}{100}$	459	154	233	1,408	
	Total		611	1,974	2,442	1.937	1,265	3,370	903	12,502	_	_	6,132	628	4,376	458	1,620	13,214	_
ases Filed	Other Criminal		65	906	345	160	226	296	168	3,437			2,282	281	1,507	157	899	5,126	
v	Motor Vehicle		546	1.068	2,097	1,177	1,039	2,403	735	9,065			3,850	347	2,869	301	721	8,088	
-	Pending 1/1/73		56	212	101	13	98	145	54	737			555	20	203	<u>~</u>	ಜ	816	_
	H	1ST DISTRICT	Camden	Chowan	Currituck	Dare	Cates	Dasguotank	Derguimans	TOTAL		2ND DISTRICT	Beaufort	Hyde	Martin	Tyrrell	Washington	TOTAL	

1. Includes cases tried before a District Court Judge upon a plea of not guilty.

Includes cases tried before a District Court Judge upon a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, and cases tried before a Magistrate upon a plea of guilty. The Magistrates' authority to accept guilty pleas and enter judgment is limited to misdemeanor cases, other than traffic offenses, in which the maximum punishment cannot exceed imprisonment for thirty days, or a fine of \$50 (G.S. 7A-273). લં

Includes traffic cases in which a written appearance waiving trial and pleading guilty is submitted to a Clerk of Superior Court or Magistrate. es.

		Ö	Cases Filed				Cases Di	Cases Disposed of					
3RD DISTRICT	Pending 1/1/73	Motor Vehicle	Other	Total	By Judge	By Plea	By Wavier	Preliminary Hearing	Otherwise	Total	Pending 12/31/73	Gain	or
Carteret	327	4,655	3,445	8,100	370	2,786	3,038	307	1,422	7,923	504		1.1
Craven	615	8,479	4,573	13,052	1,251	4,031	5,237	539	1,623	12,681	986	••	71
Familico Ditt	1 274	11 477	450	1,327	301	496	468	227	5 50	1,312	88 5	+	22
TOTAT	1,0 (4	11,411	15 223	10,041	1,2/4	19.147	1,492	4 950	3,179	18,409	1,240		871
TOTAL	6,003	29,400	700,01	40,020	3,190	13,400	10,235	062,1	0,244	40,385	7,874	+	3
4TH DISTRICT													
Duplin	652	5,433	2,479	7,912	1,403	1,943	4,222	129	466	8.163	401	12	51
Jones	126	1,193	401	1,594	471	159	707	19	279	1,635	85		11
Onslow	1,168	11,185	9,552	20,737	1,175	9,152	4,825	335	4,949	20,436	1,469	+	301
Sampson	/09	6,257	2,699	8,956	559	1,936	4,946	157	939	8,537	1,026	4	61
TOTAL	2,553	24,068	15,131	39,199	3,608	13,190	14,700	640	6,633	38,771	2,981	•	83
5TH DISTRICT													-
New Hanover	1,381	11,501	10,360	21,861	6,586	617	096.6	0	2.817	19,980	3.262	+1.89	31
Pender	436	2,580	1,222	3,802	453	1,316	1,610	129	611	4,119	119	33	1
TOTAL	1,817	14,081	11,582	25,663	7,039	1,933	11,570	129	3,428	24,099	3,381	+1,564	*
6TH DISTRICT	**************************************			o grande de la constitució de									
Bertie	104	2,126	669	2,825	225	518	1,736	40	221	2.740	189	+	32
Halifax	999	5,262	3,644	906'8	480	3,130	3,413	154	1,802	8,979	593	.	23
Hertford	199	2,457	1,273	3,730	181	955	1,679	2;	830	3,715	214	+-	12
To manipon	1	2,041	000	7,0	101	1,0/4	1,0/0	011	404	10/10	114		5
TOTAL	1,040	12,666	6,569	19,235	1,067	2,677	8,704	380	3,337	19,165	1,110	+	2
	-									p=0			

1. Includes cases tried before a District Court Judge upon a plea of not guilty.

Includes cases tried before a District Court Judge upon a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, and cases tried before a Magistrate upon a plea of guilty. The Magistrates' authority to accept guilty pleas and enter judgment is limited to misdemeanor cases, other than traffic offenses, in which the maximum punishment cannot exceed imprisonment for thirty days, or a fine of \$50 (G.S. 7A-273). 63

Includes traffic cases in which a written appearance waiving trial and pleading guilty is submitted to a Clerk of Superior Court or Magistrate.

က်

Cases Disposed of Preliminary By Wavier Hearing Otherwise Total 12/31/73	5,296 143 1,389 11,267 648 + 6,711 320 1,735 13,377 507 + 5,275 272 1,683 9,817 667 - 17,282 735 4,807 34,461 1,822 -	1,092 36 303 2,241 137 + 4,323 208 1,670 11,032 757 + 7,325 279 2,071 15,574 866 - 12,740 523 4,044 28,847 1,760 -	2,824 78 497 5,030 495 + 2,423 118 499 5,240 494 + 2,134 48 416 4,109 385 + 3,645 334 1,032 7,701 551 + 1,219 51 330 2,526 167 + 12,245 629 2,774 24,606 2,092 +	37,191 802 17,074 75,565 10,687 -	5,090 79 2,089 12,462 1,100 — 6,092 92 2,171 14,071 864 — 4,417 147 1,244 8,311 664 —
By Judge By Plea B	851 3.588 857 3,754 648 1,939 2,356 9,281	185 625 1,018 3,813 854 5,045 2,057 9,483	252 1,379 364 1,836 373 1,138 1,602 1,088 186 740 2,777 6,181	5,157 15,341	2,032 3,684 2,032 3,684 301 2,202
Other Total	3,865 11,879 4,157 13,457 3,324 9,514 11,346 34,350	679 2,287 4,555 11,086 4,684 15,459 9,918 28,832	1,402 5,261 1,647 5,271 1,291 4,243 2,847 7,805 930 2,550 8,117 25,130	24,833 75,899	4,919 12,222 4,596 13,759 3,475 8,200
Motor Vehicle	7,514 9,300 6,190 23,004	91 1,608 703 6,531 981 10,775 775 18,914	3,859 3,624 2,952 4,958 1,620 17,013	50,566	1,340 7,303 1,176 9,163 775 4,725
Pending 1/1/73	T7TH DISTRICT Edgecombe 536 7 Nash 427 9 Wilson 970 6 TOTAL 1,933 23	Greene 91 1 Lenoir 703 6 Wavne 981 10 TOTAL 1,775 18	9TH DISTRICT 264 3 Franklin 463 3 Granville 251 2 Person 447 4 Warren 143 1 TOTAL 1,568 17	10TH DISTRICT Wake 10,853 50	

Includes cases tried before a District Court Judge upon a plea of not guilty.

Includes traffic cases in which a written appearance waiving trial and pleading guilty is submitted to a Cierk of Superior Court or Magistrate.

Includes cases tried before a District Court Judge upon a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, and cases tried before a Magistrate upon a plea of guilty. The Magistrates' authority to accept guilty pleas and enter judgment is limited to misdemeanor cases, other than traffic offenses, in which the maximum punishment cannot exceed imprisonment for thirty days, or a fine of \$50 (G.S. 7A-273).

Gain or	n	182	193		177 50	52		357		202 333 33 33	136		231	532
Gal	3	11	1		11-	++				+	- 1		++	+ 1
Pending	14/31/13	2,935 115	3,050		300	1,789		365		788 300 553	1,641		1,213 519	1,732
Total	Total	43,831 $3,510$	47,341	_	6,736	10,084 23,612	-	25,000	**************************************	16,323 5,059 10,141	31,523		18,296 5,367	23,663
Othornico	Other wise	8,678	9,175		242 383	1,596		4,241		2,826 545 1.703	5,074		3,039 869	3,908
Cases Disposed of Preliminary	Hearing	420 66	486		99	246 401		471		62 64 64	132		293 190	483
Cases Disposed of Preliminary	Dy Wavier	19,020 $1,583$	20,603		3,093	3,462 9,432		9,290		8,073 2,310 4,896	15,279		7,298	9,234
D. D. D.	Dy Fica-	13,005 1,212	14,217		2,445	4,225 8,477		8,946		3,948 1,631 2,093	7,672		6,563 1,923	8,486
De Tesdani	Dy Judge	2,708 152	2,860		1,073	1,180 3,706		2,052		1,470 511 1.385	3,366		1,103	1,552
l de la	Toran	43,649 3,499	47,148		6,559	10,363 23,66 4		24,643	_	16,121 5,026 10,240	31,387	-	18,527 5,668	24,195
Cases Filled Other		14,124 1,402	15,526		1,840 2,312	3,352 7,504		9,318		5,301 1,390 2,940	9,631		6,531 2,443	8,974
Motor	Venicle	29,525 2,097	31,622		4,719	7,011 16,160		15,325		10,820 3,636 7,300	21,756		11,996	15,221
Pending	1/1/13	3,117	3,243		477	1,737		722	and appear to consider	990 333 454	1,777		982 218	1,200
	12TH DISTRICT	Cumberland Hoke	TOTAL	13TH DISTRICT	Bladen Brunswick	Columbus TOTAL	14TH DISTRICT	Durham	15TH DISTRICT	Alamance Chatham Orange	TOTAL	16TH DISTRICT	Robeson Scotland	TOTAL

^{1.} Includes cases tried before a District Court Judge upon a plea of not guilty.

ن

Includes cases tried before a District Court Judge upon a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, and cases tried before a Magistrate upon a plea of guilty. The Magistrates' authority to accept guilty pleas and enter judgment is limited to misdemeanor cases, other than traffic offenses, in which the maximum punishment cannot exceed imprisonment for thirty days, or a fine of \$50 (G.S. 7A.273). 2

Includes traffic cases in which a written appearance waiving trial and pleading guilty is submitted to a Clerk of Superior Court or Magistrate.

	Gain or Loss	38 28 34 336	-2,075 + 241 -1,834	23 152 16 745 884	1111 511 222 280 1,045 625
	Ğ⊢	++	1+1	++++	++1+11
	Pending 12/31/73	212 1,277 200 354 2,043	5,117 4,225 9,342	743 806 859 1,523	408 415 976 1,062 899 3,760
	Total	2,564 13,075 3,213 8,394 27,246	49,866 17,897 67,763	14,105 5,990 12,975 12,742 45,812	4,647 6,827 7,745 6,214 8,712 34,145
	Otherwise	385 1,941 445 611 3,382	3,624 1,410 5,034	1,723 1,406 1,774 1,161 6,06 4	670 1,075 1,460 834 1,082 5,121
sposed of	Preliminary	72 789 59 91 1,011	809 456 1,265	417 213 198 299 1,127	109 142 349 127 203 930
Cases Disposed	By Waviers	1,343 5,486 1,032 3,513 11,374	21,804 8,739 3 0,543	6,759 2,836 6,624 7,305 23,524	1,951 3,729 3,444 3,511 4,141
	By Plea	523 3,647 1,186 2,311 7.667	21,133 6,295 27,428	3,535 803 3,259 2,603	1,472 1,519 2,418 1,440 2,606 9,455
	By Judge¹	241 1,212 491 1,868 3,812	2,496 997 3,493	1,671 732 1,120 1,374 4,897	445 362 74 302 680 1,863
-	Total	2,526 13,194 3,241 7,949 26,910	47,791 18,138 65,929	14,076 6,142 12,991 13,487 46,696	4,758 6,878 7,723 6,494 7,667 33,520
Cases Filed	Other Criminal	708 4,966 1,546 2,858 10,078	14,335 6,675 21,010	5,082 2,561 3,649 3,658 14,950	1,857 2,459 2,438 2,269 2,959 11,982
ΰ	Motor Vehicle	1,818 8,228 1,695 5,091 16,832	33,456 11,463 44,919	8,994 3,581 9,342 9,829 31,746	2,901 4,419 5,285 4,225 4,708 21,538
:	Pending 1/1/73	250 1,158 172 799 2,379	7,192 3,984 11,176	- 772 654 843 778 3,047	297 364 998 782 1,944 4,385
4.7	17TH DISTRICT	Caswell Rockingham Stokes Surry TOTAL	Guilford— Greensboro High Point	19TH DISTRICT Cabarrus Montgomery Randolph Rowan TOTAL	Anson Moore Richmond Stanly Union

1. Includes cases tried before a District Court Judge upon a plea of not guilty.

Includes traffic cases in which a written appearance waiving trial and pleading guilty is submitted to a Clerk of Superior Court or Magistrate.

65

Includes cases tried before a District Court Judge upon a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, and cases tried before a Magistrate upon a plea of guilty. The Magistrates' authority to accept guilty. Pleas and enter judgment is limited to misdemeanor cases, other than traffic offenses, in which the maximum punishment cannot exceed imprisonment for thirty days, or a fine of \$50 (G.S. 7A-273). si

		ี่อี	Cases Filed				Cases Disposed of	posed of					
21ST DISTRICT	Pending 1/1/73	Motor Vehicle	Other	Total	By Judge	By Plea	Preliminary By Wavier Hearing	Hearing	Otherwise	Total	Pending 12/31/73	Gain or Loss	2 OF
Forsyth	1,763	31,852	16,055	47,907	5,155	8,033	23,457	736	10,363	47,744	1,926	+	163
22ND DISTRICT													
Alexander	1 468	2,093	1,195	3,288	995	91	1,967	30	149	3,232	323	++	56
Davie	185	3,217	460	3,677	285	654	2,245	56	396	3,636	226	+	41
Iredell TOTAL	770 2,690	8,472 26,372	3,720 9,714	12,192 36,086	4,116 7,747	$\begin{matrix} 0 \\ 3,491 \end{matrix}$	5,257 18,721	204 543	2,602 5,329	12,179 35,831	783 2,945	++	13
23RD DISTRICT				****						- Anna			
Alleghany	9	605	260	865	151	290	361	28	43	873	32	1	œ
Ashe	118	1,565	637	2,202	355	691	830	61	194	2,131	189	+	12
Wilkes	252	4,069 9 199	2,346	6,415	940	1,358	2,373	22.22	1,715	6,438 2,064	509	14	32
TOTAL	1,000	8,431	4,167	12,598	1,979	2,869	5,299	198	2,161	12,506	1,092	+	35
24TH DISTRICT													
Avery	122	1,684	585	2,269	451	219	1,352	41	43	2,106	285	+-	163
Mitchell	130	1,596	350 440	1,946	2 56 4 56	369	1,212 700 700	95 35	175 11	1,780	236	++	156 15
Watauga	100	2,607	1,173	3,780	181	949	2,059	73	492	3,754	126	+	26
Yancey TOTAL	68 467	1,203 8,121	$\frac{570}{3,118}$	1,773 $11,239$	108 1, 35 3	190 1 ,790	1,227 6,550	15 222	221 942	1,761 10,857	⊗ 8	++	382 382
25TH DISTRICT	*********			energy of the						ومسم			
Burke	757	6,177	4,069	10,246	1,640	1,170	4,487	108	2,490	9,895	1,108		351
Catawba TOTAI	1,305	12,310	8,940	21,250	1,005	6,773	9,564 17,663	397	3,692	21,431	1,124	F H	181
TOTION	5.	24.000	0,00	TT, 02	1,00,0	11,161	11,000	5	0,000	40,04	0,130		74.5
1. Includes cases tried before	of hefore	a District	Court Judge upon a	I & modit e	les of not	enille							

નં જાં

Includes cases tried before a District Court Judge upon a plea of not guilty.

Includes cases tried before a District Court Judge upon a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, and cases tried before a Magistrate upon a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, and cases tried before a Magistrates authority to accept guilty pleas and enter judgment is limited to misdemeanor cases, other than traffic offenses, or which the maximum punishment cannot exceed imprisonment for thirty days, or a fine of \$50 (G.S. 7A-273).

Includes traffic cases in which a written appearance waiving trial and pleading guilty is submitted to a Clerk of Superior Court or Magistrate. 69

	i		22		4.0	. J	ဂ ေ	4		52		-, 0	20 ox	50		က္		4	27.0	<u></u>	o,	<u>_</u> .	G &	o o	2	2	
	Gain o		+1,483		- 294	25	24	- 45		- 552		- 11				- 57		- 17	21 c	9 6	AF	- T2	651 -	36	2	-5,222	
					+-	+	-	+		+			++		·	+		+-	+	1 -	+-	+-	+	7		+	
;	Pending 12/31/73	,	9,420		1,360	2,69	165	4,210		2,319		465	120	8	151	2,19		38	9	166	200	200	116	1 70	1,00	88,63	
	Total	-	85,267		13,378	29,014	6,560	706,01		27,463		7,024	1,828	7,763	2,335	23,420		2,266	41	1,147	0,931	2,593	1,431	17,771	1,,,,	023,310	100.0
	se											_,	~ ~			~			_							1,	_
	Otherwise		19,623		129	6,742	1,470	8,34		3,458		901	99	926	106	2,738		451	0	1	287	5	906	0 977	4,41	162,998	15.9
Cases Disposed of	Preliminar By Wavier ⁸ Hearing		1,319		112	489	224	829		716		263	166	202	22	669		2	0	0 0	087	42	5 5 6	216	7	19,558	1.9
es Dis	Pr vier ⁸		2		22	27.0	2 cc	<u>.</u>		74		22	ဆ္ကင္အ	<u> </u>	ı	37		33	22	00 ;	4;	20.0		2 0	0	8	<u>-</u> :
Cas	Ву Ма		34,970		5,452	10,31	2,48	18,24		12,874		3,3	2,188	3,1	1,0	10,8		1,30	3	9	×,	œ i	1,18	7 00	Ŏ,	461,448	45
	By Plea ²		26,310		4,188	9,754	1,592	5,534		8,647		1,813	693	1 579	856	5,255		357	64	193	2,274	796	91.7	000	4,043	282,011	27.6
							•	_																		28	
	By Judge		3,045		3,497	1,717	791	6,005		1,768		675	755	1 807	310	3,891	,	145	125	330	791	489	553	120	2,553	97,295	9.5
-	78		86,750		72	1.1	55	40		15		35	23	0 0	34	93		40	63	28	29	4:	325	000	33	32	0.0
	Total	-	86,		13,672	29,2	4, 6	49,4		28,015		7,0	4,773	1,5	2,00	23,9		2,4	9	1,0	6,9	2,7	2,5	1,0	18,1	028,532	10
led	r		202		32	8	<u>۾</u>	2		2		1.1	₹ ;	S 5	2 00	66										<u>, </u>	
ases Filed	Other Criminal		39,00		4,98	12,10	1,980	19,0		9,420		2,0	1,724	4.0	, , , ,	8,6		ŏŏ	0,	õ	6 ,	1,28	22 6	ŏį	6,5	365,987	35
Ö	Motor Vehicle		47,748		06	74	12	33		95		88	45 64 67	77		94		80	72	23	85	63	48	200	7.4	45	4.4
	We		47,		8,6	17,1	4,475	30,3		18,595		4,9	3,049	4,4	4, 1	15,2		1,6	ຼ	00	4,5	1,4	1,7	0 9	11,6	662,545	9
	Pending 1/1/73		7,937	_	990,1	2,428	270	3,764		1,767		454	283	100	152	1,621		208	45	235	232	153	163	113	c12,1	3,410	_
				L		0.4			L		L						L									L 8	
		rric	rg	rric					r_{RIC}		rRIC					1	rRIC									OTA	
		DIS	enbu	DIS	pur		u	L	DIS	mbe	DIS	rson	well		riora	L	DIS	see		m	pod	n		,	1	ID T	ı
		26TH DISTRICT	Mecklenburg	27TH DISTRICT	Cleveland	Gaston	Lincoln	OTA	28TH DISTRICT	Buncombe	29TH DISTRICT	Henderson	McDowell	Polk L	Kutneriora Transvivan	TOTAL	30TH DISTRICT	Cherokee	Clay	Graham	Haywood	Jackson	Macon	Swain	OI'A	GRAND TOTAL 83,4	Percent
		31	\geq	27	C	5	H	H	28	B	25	H	\geq	٦' t	Z E	E	30	C	Ö	C	H	J	\geq_{i}	n E	-	5	P

Includes cases tried before a District Court Judge upon a plea of not guilty.

Includes cases tried before a District Court Judge upon a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, and cases tried before a Magistrate upon a plea of guilty. The Magistrates authority to accept guilty pleas and enter judgment is limited to misdemeanor cases, other than traffic offenses, in which the maximum punishment cannot exceed imprisonment for thirty days, or a fine of \$50 (G.S. 7A-273). - 8

Includes traffic cases in which a written appearance waiving trial and pleading guilty is submitted to a Clerk of Superior Court or Magistrate.

e.

OFFENSES AND CONDITIONS ALLEGED IN JUVENILE PETITIONS AND NUMBER OF CHILDREN BEFORE COURT FOR FIRST TIME*

January 1, 1973 — December 31, 1973

				Off	Offenses				Conditions	lons		Children
			Delinquent	**		Und	Undisciplined		Dependent Neglected	Neglected	Grand	Court For
	Capital	Other Felony	Misde- meanor	Probation Violation	Total	Truancy	Other	Total			Total	FIRST TIME
1ST DISTRICT												
Camden	0	0	9	0	9	2	0	87		-1	10	0,
Chowan	0	က မ	129	က	137	- i	_ <	21 12	> 0	N -	141	101
Currituck	0	g ,	ဝင္	0	31	0 0	> -	o -	> <	- <	70	64
Dare)	۰,	42	> 0	43	> 1	- 1 c	٦,	4 <	0	1	0
Gates	0	0	4	> :	4		י כי	4,1	> 1	> .	0 1	0 8
Pasquotank	0	14	45	10 °		9	┌ ,	_ ,	၁ ၀	4 r	တို့ ငိ	
Perquimans	0	0	9	0	9	>	ī	-	>	ဝ	77	3
TOTAL	0	45	238	13	296	15	2	77	10	13	341	566
2ND DISTRICT												
Beaufort	0	9	100	5	111	14	11	25	က	6	148	83
Hyde	0	0	0;	0 ;	0	0;	62 -	Ω÷	0		<u>ر</u>	ه ب
Martin	0	20 (4,	01°	90	Ιʻ	4,	<u>د</u> ۲	0	51	\$ 1	4
Tyrrell	0	-	9	> 0	စ္	0	⊣ c	⊣ c	0	0		- 61
Washington	>)	12	0	7	>	N	N !	> '	>	1 4	17
TOTAL	0	∞	162	15	182	22	20	45	က	22	258	152

The data in all columns but the last indicates the total number of offenses alleged to have been committed and conditions alleged to have existed during the year. This data does not indicate the actual number of children before the court, since one petition may include several offenses or conditions and more than one petition may have been filed against a child during the year.

The last column, entitled "Children Before Court for First Time," is included here for convenience of format, but it is not otherwise related to the data in the other columns. This column does indicates the actual number of children in all categories or jurisdiction who were before the court for the first time during 1973.

				offe	Offenses				Conditions	dons		Children	
			Delinquent			Und	Undisciplined		Dependent	Neglected	Grand	Court For	
	Capital	Other Felony	Misde- meanor	Probation Violation	Total	Truancy	Other	Total				rust rune	
3RD DISTRICT							:		,	ì	Š	Ğ	
Carteret	06	19	81	14	114	 13 13	212	2 8	ည္	ដ្ឋា	238 238	142	
Pamlico Ditt	100	102	. 53 E	O 10	308	1-2	0 62	83 -1	06	23 0	26 403	24 237	
TOTAL	o 61	22	436	116	625	79	28	137	36	20	848	524	
4TH DISTRICT													
Duplin	00	84-	8	810	113	19	6-	18	Ξ°	6160	154	81 12	
Onslow) (- 85	45 15	618	246 97	20°	45	1367	17	53.	381 124	234 105	
TOTAL) H	143	277	41	462	55	19	116	32	19	671	432	
5TH DISTRICT													
New Hanover	0-	55	571	124	30	41	10	103 13	31	25	935 64	349 50	
TOTAL	i =1	88	591	125	780	4	72	116	31	72	666	399	
6TH DISTRICT												_	
Bertie	-	9	2	es 6	17	9 9	878	ထ ဂို	0 1	ကင္	28	252	
Halifax Hertford	001	\$ 21°	228	210	83	no ç	900	305	300	300	404	4%	
Northampton TOTAL	- 8	2 4	153	29	238	32	28	83	15	19	335	194	

Delinquent Und TTH DISTRICT Capital Felony meanor Violation Total Truancy Edgecombe 1 20 148 22 191 24 Wilson 1 46 86 19 266 29 TOTAL 3 146 396 57 602 62 RTH DISTRICT 0 6 19 8 33 6 Greene 0 6 19 8 32 29 26 TOTAL 0 3 388 103 524 54 Franklin 0 3 38 103 524 54 Franklin 0 19 52 4 75 13 Granville 0 19 52 4 75 13 Franklin 0 14 26 25 4 75 Vance 0 19 25 4 75 13					0ff	Offenses				Conditions	tions		Children
Capital Felony meanor Violation Total relong meanor Violation Total				Delinquen	.		Un	Undisciplined	Q	Dependent	Neglected	Grand	Court For
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Capital	Other Felony	Misde- meanor	Probation Violation	Total	Truancy	Other	Total			Total	First Time
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	DISTRICT												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ombe	-	00	148	66	161	6	9.4	33	6	91	747	70
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OHIDO	h	46	8	19	145	94	4 4 4 4 4 4	3 6	1 &	101	961	161
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		۰,	P 0	15.0	21	956	10	21	S 4	0 0	2	7000	174
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$, <u>F</u>	- er	146	396	57	602	67	2 %	147	77	45 U	976	140
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	DISTRICT)			;	!	}	}		!	2	3	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		c	ď	10	9	99	Ü	•	t	•	ì	,	00
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D L	0	° 6	176	၀ က္က	950	၀ ငွ	- œ	- 6	161	ე ე	46 200	180
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$. 0	0	31-	193	38	232	16	34		202	23	328	269
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Į.	0	33	388	103	524	24	88	140	8	29	764	491
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	DISTRICT												-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	lin	0	က	42	1	46	6	10	19	11	37	113	72
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ille	00	61	25	40	5.5	13	φç	22	41	o 0	109	51
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-	> <	- 0	100	ນເ	5 1 C	77.	25	37	_ 0	x •	72	37
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	g	> 01	0 4	199	70	155 25	n 0	2 62	15 2	2 62	4 4	7 2 2 3 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3	<u>2</u> %
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ı	7	41	255	56	324	47	42	83	98	62	511	348
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	DISTRICT												
STRICT 0 2 95 23 120 0 8 107 24 139 0 11 109 33 153 0 11 09 33 153		0	83	449	72	604	26	267	293	35	19	951	650
0 2 95 23 120 0 8 107 24 139 0 11 109 33 153	DISTRICT												
0 11 109 33 153	tt	00	64 a	95	23	120	46	27	73	42	24	241	173
011 00 110 0		0	ì:	109	33	153	57	14	102	8 8	‡ 21	335	145
0 21 00 412	T	0	21	311	80	412	146	97	243	152	8	887	466

Children	Court For	First Time		844	868	-	85 101	129	315		168	-	212	147	388		312	404
	Grand	Total		1,179	1,280		93	210	403		463		537	131	721		503	624
ions	Neglected			239 14	253		ω ro	23	98		40		104	, 11	120		84 -	55
Conditions	Dependent Neglected			268	270		00	4	4		22		5 6	16	34		47	26
	P	Total		284 17	301		18 20	8	101		86		116	26	158		188	221
	Undisciplined	Other		239	246		18	21	£		42		56	13	73		81	109
	Undi	Truancy		10	55		42	42	28		*		85	131	82		107	112
Offenses		Total		88 88 98	456		67 75	120	262		268		291	87	409		220	292
Offe		Probation Violation		39	46		00	52	82		28		4 c	0	4		20	26
	Delinquent	Misde- meanor		300 300	336		619	9 ;	166		190		175 31	62	268		123 55	178
		Other Felony		84° 84°	2		2 41	55	11		20		ಜಂ	25	97		11	88
		Capital		თ 	4		00	0	•		0		00	0	0		00	0
			12TH DISTRICT	Cumberland Hoke	TOTAL	13TH DISTRICT	Bladen Brunswick	Columbus	TOTAL	14TH DISTRICT	Durham	15TH DISTRICT	Alamance Chatham	Orange	TOTAL	16TH DISTRICT	Robeson	TOTAL

				00	Offenses				Conditions	Hons		Children
			Delinquent	it.		Und	Undisciplined		Dependent	Neglected	Grand	Court For
	Capital	Other Felony	Misde- meanor	Probation Violation	Total	Truancy	Other	Total			Total	First Time
17TH DISTRICT												
Caswell	0	7	4	_	15	6	rc	14	cr.	ĸ	27	30
Rockingham	က	79	$11\hat{6}$	15	213	27	. 	12	. <u>4</u>	, E	368	194
Stokes	0	0	13	0	13	2	00	12.	;-	:=	40	40
Surry	0	7	75	16	86	29	14	43	107	28 28	171	157
TOTAL	က	93	208	32	336	72	20	142	20	115	613	421
18TH DISTRICT												
Guilford—	, •		i	į	,							
Greensboro High Point	4 -	84.5 64.5	521 907	332	1,209	100	378	478	117	122	1,926	675
THE TOTAL	4 1	7	167	100	999	113	7/1	230	112	154	1,100	441
TOTAL	. م ا	406	818	218	1,747	219	555	774	523	276	3,026	1,116
TOTAL DISTRICT												
13111 DISTINCT	•											7
Cabarrus	5	41	175	æ	254	21	18	39	9	14	313	182
Montgomery	0	4	37	က	4	33	6	42	0	4	6	56
Randolph	0	7	107	27	136	16	54	2	7	15	223	166
Kowan	67	\$	138	40	214	13	94	107	72	144	519	301
TOTAL	2	81	457	103	648	88	176	258	62	177	1,145	705
20TH DISTRICT												
Angon	c	<	7	c	L	t	t	3	•	t	ć	Ç
Moore	•	> =	40	, T	0 0	T.	- 8	4.8	۰.		န္တ	20 G
Richmond		16	9 6	4 6	3 2	9 6	8.	9 6	4.0	4.	147	73
Ctonline Ctonlin		3 0	G C	90	00,	တို့ ဇ	40	32	xo o	4,	091	121
Stanty	٥ د	7 5	202	<i>w</i> 5	143		15	#8	တ င	4.	210	116
TABOR	1 (1 1	2 6	21	017	00 1	77	3	3	ָּמ	210	OCT
IOIAL	71	200	333	65	453	137	119	256	25	58	821	528

				off	Offenses				Conditions	Hons		Children	
			Delinquent	*		Und	Undisciplined		Dependent Neglected	Neglected	Grand	Court For	
	Capital	Other Felony	Misde- meanor	Probation Violation	Total	Truancy	Other	Total			Total	First Time	
21ST DISTRICT													
Forsyth	0	83	363	25	448	79	171	250	72	48	800	452	
22ND DISTRICT													
Alexander Davidson	000	000	21 277	096	286 286	L 44	59	129	47	36	445	351	
Davie Iredell	00	37	129 ×	21	177	£1 04	200	96	0 61	45	88	272	
TOTAL	0	9	435	17	492	107	66	200	67	91	820	687	
23RD DISTRICT													
Alleghany Ashe	00	⊣ ຄ	48	01	24	භ 4 4	භ 4	ဖထ		09	365	12 37	
Wilkes Yadkin	00	-0	28	10	83	15 SI	16 2	8 4	20 15	52 13	525 62	210 43	
TOTAL	0	ro	108	18	131	74	22	66	37	11	338	302	
PATH DISTRICT													
TOWN TOWN THE PARTY OF THE PART	(1	1										
Avery	co	ca	15	თ –	98 9		ဖင	~ o	~ c	, - 1 , -	41	83	
Mitchell	0	(0)	12	161	17		10	, 	10	- co	22	21	
Watauga Yancey	00	m o	25 13	-0	82	ପ୍ର	6 7 60	410	00	12	\$ %	275	
TOTAL	*	12	89	7	91	13	13	26	6	13	139	H	

Children	Court For	First Time	-	46	160	400	 	1,064		205	456 85	746		208	-	93	333	105	00	406
	Grand	Total		242	165 367	774		3,191		434	923 149	1,506		686		223	၀ က ဂို က	104	73	571
lons	Neglected			34	12 54	91		27		34	11	78		141		17	3 62	4.	4, 5	40
Conditions	Dependent Neglected			00	20 cs	31		168		9	12	92		14		100	20	01,5	2 5	46
	Ď	Total		20	65 64 65 65	161		529		85	212 43	337		274		54	2 52 2 53	21	77	163
	Undisciplined	Other		22	31 32 31	75		451		43	167 16	226		220		45	11	o :	= 2	94
	Undi	Truancy		28	31 27	98		78		36	45 27	111		54		60	711	12	0 8	69
Offenses		Total		150	253 88 253 88	491		2,467		312	² 28	666		260		142	86	77	98	322
off		Probation Violation		27	30.4	17		321		13	14.	28		96		27	30	38	7.7	107
	Delinquent	Misde- meanor		85	71 216	369		1,060		287	416 57	160		306		63	0 4	\$;	97	143
		Other Felony		41	ധ ന	49		1,082		12	111	180		158		25	ာ က	rO.0	N E	17.
		Capital		0	00	1 61	٠	4		0	o ==	1		0		00	00	0,	→ •	-
			25TH DISTRICT	Burke	Caldwell	TOTAL	26TH DISTRICT	Mecklenburg	27TH DISTRICT	Cleveland	Gaston Lincoln	TOTAL	28TH DISTRICT	Buncombe	29TH DISTRICT	Henderson	Polk	Rutherford	Transylvania	TOTAL

ਤੂ ਤੁ
0 0 21 0 0 10 64 0 0 5 12 0 0 30 2 0 50 174 3
44 3,337 10,396 2,374 16,151 3 20.6 64.4 14.7 100.0 62.1

JUVENILE PROCEEDINGS — ADJUDICATORY HEARINGS IN THE DISTRICT COURT*

January 1, 1973 — December 31, 1973

	Deling	Delinquency Hearings	ings	Undisci	Undisciplined Hearings	ings	Depen	Dependency Hearings	ngs	Negl	Neglect Hearings	S	Total
	Retained	Retained Dismissed Total	Total	Retained	Retained Dismissed Total	Total	Retained	Retained Dismissed	Total	Retained	Retained Dismissed Total		Hearings
1ST DISTRICT													
Camden	2	0	27	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	4
Chowan	32	32	64	1	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	-	99
Currituck	25	9	31	5	0	2	0	0	0	Н	0	7	37
Dare	27	36	63	0	Н	-	10	က	13	0	0	0	77
Gates	-	က	4	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	∞
Pasquotank	2	14	78	7	Н	œ	5	H	9	က	0	0	95
Perquimans	4	67	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	9	12
TOTAL	155	93	248	18	લ	20	15	īĊ	70	10	1	=	299
2ND DISTRICT													
Beaufort	4	23	29	23	0	23	က	1	4	9	က	-0	103
Hyde	1	4	5	1	0	Η	-	0	_	0	က	က	10
Martin	39	13	25	6	લ	11	0	0	0	6	1	10	73
Tyrrell	9	, - 1	7	0	લ	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Washington	8	2	13	Η	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
TOTAL	86	46	144	34	4	38	4	-	ro	15	7	22	209
3RD DISTRICT												-	
Carteret	72	19	91	30	15	45	11	4	15	7	1	00	159
Craven	175	124	299	4	17	61	7	4	11	28	9	34	405
Pamlico	12	6	21	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
Pitt	375	103	478	111	43	154	17	7	24	81	0	81	737
TOTAL	634	255	889	190	75	265	35	15	20	116	2	123	1,327
* This table indicates	the total number of adjudicatory hearings held	imber of	adjudica	tory hear	ings held	in eacl	a category	of juveni	le juris	diction	in each category of juvenile jurisdiction during the year. Juvenile	year.	Juvenile

petitions may be dismissed either for failure to prove that the child is delinquent, undisciplined, dependent or neglected or, if he is found to be so, because the child is not in need of the care, protection or discipline of the State. All cases dismissed for either of these reasons are counted in the "retained" column; all other cases are counted in the "retained" column.

Total	rings	i	179	250	253 161 141	1	1	935 62 997			191	512	970		232	233		. !	47 376	389 812
Ĕ	otal Hea		- c	4 5	04 7 2	e		51 17 68	}		ည်င		<u></u>		16 26	w 1	-		ი ფ	8 32
Neglect Hearings	smissed T		, - 1 ,-	- 1		3		r 03 6 3	•	(5 80	- -	ာ		21 44	0 4	•		15	တ ဣ
Neglect	Retained Dismissed Total Hearings		9 +	٦,	5 4 5 5 4 5	\$		455	3	,	. E	00 4	3		22 4	ကစ္တ	3		30 2	27 62
			27	י נימ	ဌလ	2		37 37 37 37	3	•	042	00	<u>+</u>		e 21	3. 3.	4		- 9	38,3
Dependency Hearings	Retained Dismissed Total		18	N 1	-08	3		0 0			086	00	Ŋ		O 10	O 14	•		00	` ==
Depende	tained D		6,	٦;	4-6	ŝ		323	3		0 27 0	00	7		თ Ի	312	3		-1 4	3 28
ngs			33	- 1;	32.25	121		103	*11*		0 0 0 0 0 0	၁၈	S S S		38	23	100		7.7	61 145
ned Heari	smissed		6	Н;	ន្តនូរ	25		4-1 K	5		000	00	10		4 72	П 6	70		05	122
Undisciplined Hearings	Retained Dismissed Total		24	0	13	99		601	601		38 10	ာက္	ဝင္		8 42 42	88	301		72	158 178
			112	စ	252 116	486		750 32	701		<u> </u>	44	737		177 125	191	493		34	264 546
Delinguency Hearings	smissed		72	9	88 89	249		105	110		442	\$ E1	55		왕 4	17.	c S		40	84 2
Delinane	Retained Dismissed Total		40	0	166 31	237		645 19	604		88	78 78 78 78	162		143 81	174	288		30	216 434
	I)=	ı																1		
		4TH DISTRICT	Duplin	Jones	Onslow	TOTAL	5TH DISTRICT	New Hanover Pender	TOTAL	6TH DISTRICT	Bertie Halifax	Hertford Northampton	TOTAL	TTH DISTRICT	Edgecombe	Wilson	TOTAL	8TH DISTRICT	Greene	Lenoir Wayne TOTAL

Delinquency Hearings	la la	12 2	15 10 25 142 11 153	52 22	630 125 755	75 94 169 107 27 134 163 52 215 345 173 518	308 96 404 33 8 41 341 104 445	38 16 54 50 16 75 104 23 127 201 55 256
Undisciplined Hearings	Retained Dismissed Total	12 2 11 5	19 13 15 0	57 23	187 26	47 85 44 19 4 1 95 105	226 65 1 16 1 242 66	39 3 17 3 69 39
s Dependency Hearings	otal Retained Dismissed	3	32 4 3 15 12 0 3 0 2	29	213 35 3	132 14 18 63 31 16 5 19 18	291 244 65 17 2 1 308 24 6 66	42 0 0 0 20 108 4 1 1 170 4 1
	Total		12 2		38	32 47 37 116	309	000000
Neglect Hearings	Retained Dismissed Total Hearings		0 4 0		17 5	22 20 23 17 21 0 66 37	161 89 6 1 167 90	8 0 4 1 42 20 54 21
ngs	ed Total H	50.0	x 4 r	55	22	42 40 21 103	250	65 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5
Total	aring	828	184 32 32	434	1,028	375 284 278 937	1,254 68 1,322	104 100 302 506

	Deling	Delinquency Hearings	- 0	Undisci	Undisciplined Hearings	ings	Depend	Dependency Hearings	ngs	Negl	Neglect Hearings	S	Total	
14TH DISTRICT	Retained	Retained Dismissed Total		Retained	Retained Dismissed Total	Total	Retained	Dismissed	Total	Retained	Retained Dismissed Total Retained Dismissed Total Hearings	Total	Hearings	
Durham	98	174	272	47	41	88	4	4	48	33	13	46	454	-44
15TH DISTRICT														
Alamance Chatham	180 28	68 87	30	69 8	& O	£8	18	00	73	54	40	50	367	ا عداد
Orange TOTAL	279	e 0 4	319	2 2	- 6	106	8 9	6	2 %	. 2	- 12	9 69	117	
16TH DISTRICT														
Robeson Scotland	175	23	198 69	23 88	40	828	39	9 1	45	74	∞ 	55	388	
TOTAL	235	32	267	109	9	115	43	7	20	72	6	63		
17TH DISTRICT														
Caswell Rockingham	8 96	21	111	39	6	18 48	18	00	18	6	0 13	2	38	~ •
Stokes Surry	21 4	370	35	25 44 53	0 ∞	33	თ ი	00	ಣನ	83 œ	04	278		
TOTAL	158	61	219	83	24	113	26	0	56	108	17	125		
18TH DISTRICT														
Guilford— Greensboro High Point	398 240	341	739	184	138	322	89	223	112	59	57	116	1,289	- 10
TOTAL	638	456	1,094	343	207	550	161	84	209	174	86	272	2,125	

Total	earings	354 159 199 744 1.456		86 156 186 170 270	668	50 425 78 431 984	18 38 290 62 62
S	Total H	172 212 252		23 8 16 12	8 8	5 42 18 90 155	64 13 90
Neglect Hearings	Dismissed	90044		0000088	11	4 9 0 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	12190
Negle	Retained Dismissed Total Hearings	16 5 11 178 210		□ 5 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	29	1 36 18 67	4 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3
1	Total 1	7 1 3 115		12 9 8 72 73	94	37 23 66	112 27 4
Dependency Hearings	Retained Dismissed	000 27 27	i	0880	9	08016	00400
Depen	Retained	7 T S 88 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89	3	0 1 1 0 0 9 8	88	29 22 57	1 23 13 38
ings	Total	36 81 54 192 363	}	24 35 36 64 64	235	14 78 37 122 251	6 9 4 126
Undisciplined Hearings	Retained Dismissed Total	13 13 17 10 10		21 8 24 4 25 4 25	45	12 9 33 59	08 42 072
Undiscip	Retained	30 68 35 120		338823	190	2 69 89 89	9 9 8 8 8 8 8 8
ngs	Total	293 72 125 225 715		86 44 112 167	464 500	30 268 18 196 512	21 92 30 148
Delinquency Hearings	Dismissed	65 36 40 70		51 16 5 9 114	121	27 49 9 54 139	0 51 62 8
Delingu	Retained Dismissed	228 36 85 155		4 70 39 103 53	379	3 219 9 142 373	13 14 27 86
	19TH DISTRICT	Cabarrus Montgomery Randolph Rowan	20TH DISTRICT	Anson Moore Richmond Stanly Union	TOTAL 21ST DISTRICT Forsyth	Alexander Davidson Davie Iredell TOTAL	23RD DISTRICT Alleghany Ashe Wilkes Yadkin TOTAL

Total	arings	31	31 66	228		273 209 428 910	3,198		361 675 134	1,070		1,123
	Total He	NH.	, o g	37		48 17 118	 4		9 55 9 9 55 9	4	-	176
Neglect Hearings	Retained Dismissed Total Hearings	87.	108	7		15 4 9 28	н		088	4		93
Neglec	etained D	100	70 23	30		88 14 8	13		2330	20		88
ings		8118	000	21		11 6 20 37	169		15 15 15 15 15	20		œ
Dependency Hearings	ismissed	400	000	13		6 5 12 4	67		8-1-	ro		1
Depende	Retained Dismissed Total	4 to 0	000	6		5 16 22	167		67 11	80		7
ings		711	124	28		47 76 50 173	466		96 164 43	273		220
ined Hear	ismissed	018,	0-0	22		11 11 27	103		ကတေထ	22		84
Undisciplined Hearings	Retained Dismissed Total	- 80	o 4 4	\$		42 65 39 146	363		61 155 35	251		133
ngs		418	282	112		167 110 305 582	2,549		308 70	638		719
Delinquency Hearings	ismissed	133	. 27 6	41		45 27 68 140	151		8873	175		569
Delinque	Retained Dismissed Total	, 50 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	9 1 2 8	11		122 83 237 442	2,398		188 225 50	463		450
	24TH DISTRICT	Avery Madison	Mitchell Watauga Yancey	TOTAL	25TH DISTRICT	Burke Caldwell Catawba TOTAL	26TH DISTRICT Mecklenburg	27TH DISTRICT	Cleveland Gaston Lincoln	TOTAL	28TH DISTRICT	Buncombe

Delinquency Hearings Retained Dismissed Total 76 24 100
12 43 55 7 5 12
ည္သမ
9
23 9 32 2 14 16 0 18 18
.5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5
11,655 4,071 15,726 74.1 25.9 100.0
6.09

DISTRICT COURT ACTIVITY IN MOTOR VEHICLE AND SMALL CLAIM CASES*

January 1, 1973 — December 31, 1973

		of Motor Velosed of by W			of Small Cl	
100 DIOTRI	-	Cases Disposed of By Waiver	Percent Disposed of by Waiver	Small Claims Filed	Disposed of by Magistrate	Percent Disposed of by Magistrate
1ST DISTRIC	JT_					
Camden	546	391	71.6	78	72	92.3
Chowan	1,068	658	61.6	184	174	94.6
Currituck	2,097	1,445	68.9	104	104	100.0
Dare	1,177	798	67.8	124	115	92.7
Gates	1,039	834	80.3	152	142	93.4
Pasquotank	2,403 735	1,659 558	69.0 75.9	638 96	683 92	107.1
Perquimans						95.8
TOTAL	9,065	6,343	70.0	1,376	1,382	100.4
2ND DISTRI	CT					
Beaufort	3,850	2,848	74.0	970	917	94.5
Hyde	347	210	60.5	44	47	106.8
Martin	2,869	2,183	76.1	731	721	98.6
Tyrrell	301	133	44.2	54	54	100.0
Washington	721	954	132.3	301	289	96.0
TOTAL	8,088	6,328	78.2	2,100	2,028	96.6
	,	í			,	
3RD DISTRI	CT					
Carteret	4,655	3,038	65.3	404	439	108.7
Craven	8,479	5,237	61.8	782	742	94.9
Pamlico	877	468	53.4	159	148	93.1
Pitt	11,477	7,492	65.3	1,783	1,591	89.2
TOTAL	25,488	16,235	63.7	3,128	2,920	93.4
4TH DISTRI	CT					
Duplin	5,433	4,222	77.7	844	844	100.0
Jones	1,193	707	59.3	81	77	95.1
Onslow	11,185	4,825	43.1	1,909	1,617	84.7
Sampson	6,257	4,946	79.0	989	969	98.0
TOTAL	24,068	14,700	61.1	3,823	3,507	91.7
5TH DISTR	ICT					
N. T.	11 501	0.000	96.6	1.010	1 510	E0.0
New Hanover		9,960	86.6	1,918	1,513	78.9
Pender	2,580	1,610	62.4	398	374	94.0
TOTAL	14,081	11,570	82.2	2,316	1,887	81.5

In some counties, the percent of cases disposed of exceeds one hundred percent because cases pending January 1, 1973 are not included in the "field" column. The figures in both the "filed" and "disposed of" columns are for the calendar year 1973. Some of the cases filed in 1973 will not be disposed of until 1974 and some of the cases disposed of in 1973 were filed in 1972. Assuming a fairly constant rate of filing and disposition, the percentages are relatively accurate.

These two tables are combined for convenience of format; they are not otherwise related.

			Small Claims Filed	Disposed of by Magistrate	Percent Disposed of by Magistrate
,1					
2,126 5,262 2,457 2,821	1,736 3,413 1,679 1,876	81.7 64.9 68.3 66.5	428 1,173 225 328	405 1,098 232 287	94.6 93.6 103.1 87.5 93.9
12,000	0,104	,00.7	2,104	2,022	20.2
CT_					
7,514 9,300 6,190 23,00 4	5,296 6,711 5,275 17,282	70.5 72.2 85.2 75.1	1,947 1,147 2,118 5,212	1,915 1,105 2.099 5,119	98.4 96.3 99.1 98.2
cT_{\perp}					
1,608 6.531 10,775 18,914	1,092 4,323 7,325 12,740	67.9 66.2 68.0 67. 4	236 1,756 1,451 3,443	222 1,693 1,449 3,364	94.1 96.4 99.9 97.7
T_{\perp}					
3,859 3,624 2,952 4,958 1,620 17,013	2,824 2,423 2,134 3,645 1,219 12,245	73.2 66.9 72.3 73.5 75.2 72.0	471 808 1,282 1,649 258 4,468	452 780 997 1,847 282 4,358	96.0 96.5 77.8 112.0 109.3 97.5
CT					
50,566	37,191	73.5	6,375	6,075	95.3
CT					
7,303 9,163 4,725 21,191	5,090 6,092 4,417 15,599	69.7 66.5 93.5 73.6	1,004 1,151 999 3,154	969 1,072 957 2,998	96.5 93.1 95.8 95.1
CT					
29,525 2,097 31,622	19,020 1,583 20,603	64.4 75.5 65.2	3,083 199 3,282	3,141 166 3,307	101.9 83.4 100.8
	7,514 9,300 6,190 23,004 7,514 9,300 6,190 23,004 7 1,608 6,531 10,775 18,914 7 3,859 3,624 2,952 4,958 1,620 17,013 CT 7,303 9,163 4,725 21,191 CT 29,525 2,097	Disposed of by W Motor Vehicle Disposed of By Waiver CT 2,126 1,736 5,262 3,413 2,457 1,679 2,821 1,876 12,666 8,704 CT 7,514 5,296 9,300 6,711 6,190 5,275 23,004 17,282 CT 1,608 1,092 6.531 4,323 10,775 7,325 18,914 12,740 CT 3,859 2,824 3,624 2,423 2,952 2,134 4,958 3,645 1,620 1,219 17,013 12,245 CT 7,303 5,090 9,163 6,092 4,725 4,417 21,191 15,599 CT 29,525 19,020 2,097 1,583	Vehicle Cases Filed Disposed of By Waiver by Waiver Disposed of Disposed of By Waiver by Waiver 2T 2,126 1,736 81.7 5,262 3,413 64.9 2,457 1,679 68.3 2,821 1,876 66.5 12,666 8,704 68.7 2T 7,514 5,296 70.5 9,300 6,711 72.2 6,190 5,275 85.2 23,004 17,282 75.1 75.1 75.1 2T 1,608 1,092 67.9 6.531 4,323 66.2 10,775 7,325 68.0 18,914 12,740 67.4 77.5 77.5 77.5 77.5 77.5 77.5 77.5 77.5 77.5 77.5 77.5 77.5 <td> Disposed of by Wavier</td> <td> Motor Cases Percent Small Disposed of by Waver Cases Percent Small Disposed of by Waver Cases Piled Sy Waiver Siled Magistrate CT </td>	Disposed of by Wavier	Motor Cases Percent Small Disposed of by Waver Cases Percent Small Disposed of by Waver Cases Piled Sy Waiver Siled Magistrate CT

Percent of Motor Vehicle Cases

Dorgant of Small Claim Cases

^{1.} In some counties, the percent of cases disposed of exceeds one hundred percent because cases pending January 1, 1973 are not included in the "field" column. The figures in both the "filed" and "disposed of" columns are for the calendar year 1973. Some of the cases filed in 1973 will not be disposed of until 1974 and some of the cases disposed of in 1973 were filed in 1972. Assuming a fairly constant rate of filing and disposition, the percentages are relatively accurate.

These two tables are combined for convenience of format; they are not otherwise related.

	Percent of Dispo	of Motor Veh osed of by W	nicle Cases 'avier¹		of Small Cl	
	Motor Vehicle Cases Filed	Cases Disposed of By Waiver	Percent Disposed of by Waiver	Small Claims Filed	Disposed of by Magistrate	Percent Disposed of by Magistrate
13TH DISTR	\underline{ICT}					
Bladen	4,719	2,877	61.0	569	559	98.2
Brunswick	4,430	3,093	69.8	510	467	91.6
Columbus	7,011	3,462	49.4	858	760	88.6
TOTAL	16,160	9,432	58.4	1,937	1,786	92.2
14TH DISTR	ICT					
Durham	15,325	9,290	60.6	6,242	4,421	70.8
15TH DISTRI	CT					
Alamance	10,820	8,073	74.6	1,927	1,847	95.8
Chatham	3,636	2,310	63.5	1,290	1,141	88.4
Orange	7,300	4,896	67.1	504	380	7 5.4
TOTAL	21,756	15,279	70.2	3,721	3,36 8	90.5
AAMIN DIGMDI	rom.					
16TH DISTRI	CT					
Robeson	11,996	7,298	60.8	2,243	2,077	92.6
Scotland	3,225	1,936	60.0	507	489	96.4
TOTAL	15,221	9,234	60.7	2,750	2,566	93.3
17TH DISTRI	CT					
Caswell	1,818	1,343	73.9	403	340	84.4
Rockingham	8,228	5,486	66.7	1,517	1,447	95.4
Stokes	1,695	1,032	60.9	528	473	89.6
Surry	5,091	3,513	69.0	2,612	1,950	74.7
TOTAL	16,832	11,374	67.6	5,060	4,210	83.2
18TH DISTRI	CT					
Guilford—						
Greensboro	33,456	21,804	65.2	7,064	7,135	101.0
High Point	11,463	8,739	76.2	3,766	3,423	90.9
TOTAL	44,919	30,543	68.0	10,830	10,558	97.5
19TH DISTRI	CT					
Cabarrus	8,994	6,759	75.2	1,318	1,148	87.1
Montgomery	3,581	2,836	79.2	478	453	94.8
Randolph	9,342	6,624	70.9	966	834	86.3
Rowan	9,829	7,305	74.3	1,155	1,072	92.8
TOTAL	31,746	23,524	74.1	3,917	3,507	89.5

In some counties, the percent of cases disposed of exceeds one hundred percent because cases pending January 1, 1973 are not included in the "field" column. The figures in both the "filed" and "disposed of" columns are for the calendar year 1973. Some of the cases filed in 1973 will not be disposed of until 1974 and some of the cases disposed of in 1973 were filed in 1972. Assuming a fairly constant rate of filing and disposition, the percentages are relatively accurate. These two tables are combined for convenience of format; they are not otherwise related.

		of Motor Vehosed of by W			of Small C	
C	Motor Vehicle ases Filed	Cases Disposed of By Waiver	Percent Disposed of by Waiver	Small Claims Filed	Disposed of by Magistrate	Percent Disposed of by Magistrate
20TH DISTRIC	CT					
Anson	2,901	1.951	67.3	426	344	80.8
Moore	4,419	3,729	84.4	526	494	93.9
Richmond	5,285	3,444	65.2	606	567	93.6
Stanly	4,225	3,511	83.1	962	916	95.2
Union	4,708	4,141	88.0	824	806	97.8
TOTAL	21,538	16,776	77.9	3,344	3,127	93.5
21ST DISTRIC	$^{!}T$					
Forsyth	31,852	23,457	73.6	4,804	4,573	95.2
roisyth	01,002	20,401	10.0	4,004	4,010	30.2
22ND DISTRIC	CT					
Alexander	2,093	1,967	94.0	272	266	97.8
Davidson	12,590	9,252	73.5	935	1,023	109.4
Davie	3,217	2,245	69.8	137	134	97.8
Iredell	8,472	5,257	62.1	1,680	1,491	88.8
TOTAL	26,372	18,721	71.0	3,024	2,914	96.4
23RD DISTRIC	CT					
Alleghany	605	361	59.7	397	359	90.4
Ashe	1.565	830	53.0	151	150	99.3
Wilkes	4,069	2,373	58.3	785	587	74.8
Yadkin	2,192	1,735	79.2	339	343	101.2
TOTAL	8,431	5,299	62.9	1,672	1,439	86.1
24TH DISTRIC	cT					
Avery	1.684	1,352	80.3	148	166	112.2
Madison	1,596	1,212	75.9	39	34	87.2
Mitchell	1,031	700	67.9	87	81	93.1
Watauga	2,607	2,059	79.0	132	127	96.2
Yancey	1,203	1,227	102.0	7 7	56	72.7
TOTAL	8,121	6,550	8 0.7	483	464	96.1
25TH DISTRIC	CT					
Burke	6,177	4.487	72.6	522	517	99.0
Caldwell	6,419	3,612	56.3	1,138	1,055	92.7
Catawba	12,310	9,564	77.7	1,174	1,168	99.5
TOTAL	24,906	17,663	70.9	2,834	2,740	96.7
26TH DISTRIC	CT_					
Mecklenburg	47,748	34,970	73.2	10,799	9,179	85.0

In some counties, the percent of cases disposed of exceeds one hundred percent because cases pending January 1, 1973 are not included in the "field" column. The figures in both the "filed" and "disposed of" columns are for the calendar year 1973. Some of the cases filed in 1973 will not be disposed of until 1974 and some of the cases disposed of in 1973 were filed in 1972. Assuming a fairly constant rate of filing and disposition, the percentages are relatively accurate.

These two tables are combined for convenience of format; they are not otherwise related.

		of Motor Velosed of by W			of Small C	
27 T H DISTRI	Motor Vehicle Cases Filed		Percent Disposed of by Waiver	Small Claims Filed	Disposed of by Magistrate	Percent Disposed of by Magistrate
Cleveland Gaston Lincoln TOTAL	8,690 17,174 4,475 30,339	5.452 10,312 2,483 18,247	62.7 60.0 55.5 60.1	1,272 2,955 566 4,793	1,273 2,978 473 4,724	100.1 100.8 83.6 98.6
28TH DISTRI		10.074	60.0	1.710	1.000	97.1
Buncombe 29TH DISTRI	18,595 ICT	12,874	69.2	1,710	1,660	97.1
Henderson McDowell Polk Rutherford Transylvania TOTAL	4,988 3,049 1,421 4,425 1,411 15,294	3,372 2,188 1,122 3,114 1,041 10,837	67.6 71.8 79.0 70.4 73.8 70.9	372 259 75 806 207 1,719	299 237 61 688 292 1,577	80.4 91.5 81.3 85.4 141.1 91. 7
30TH DISTR	CT					
Cherokee Clay Graham Haywood Jackson Mason Swain TOTAL	1,608 572 823 4,585 1,463 1,764 809 11,624	1,303 452 618 2,904 875 1,153 533 7,838	81.0 79.0 75.1 63.3 59.8 65.4 65.9 67.4	132 83 23 322 126 72 75 833	83 92 35 310 126 77 58 781	62.9 110.8 152.2 96.3 100.0 106.9 77.3 93.8
GRAND TOTAL	662,545	461,448	69.6	111,303	102,561	92.1

In some counties, the percent of cases disposed of exceeds one hundred percent because cases pending January 1, 1973 are not included in the "filed" column. The figures in both the "filed" and "disposed of" columns are for the calendar year 1973. Some of the cases filed in 1973 will not be disposed of until 1974 and some of the cases disposed of in 1973 were filed in 1972. Assuming a fairly constant rate of filing and disposition, the percentages are relatively accurate.

^{*} These two tables are combined for convenience of format; they are not otherwise related.

DAYS OF COURT HELD AT EACH SEAT OF THE DISTRICT COURT*

1973 Calendar Year

1010	Carchatt	1 Cui	
1ST DISTRICT (2 Judges)	Civil	Criminal	Total
Camden—Camden Chowan—Edenton Currituck—Currituck Dare—Manteo Gates—Gatesville Pasquotank—Elizabeth City Perquimans—Hertford TOTAL	26 3 4 2 ¹ / ₂ 13 1/ ₂ 49 ¹ / ₂	$9\frac{1}{2}$ 43 24 25 14 36 $11\frac{1}{2}$ 163	10 69 27 29 16½ 49 12 212½
2ND DISTRICT (2 Judges)			
Beaufort—Washington Hyde—Swan Quarter Martin—Williamston Tyrrell—Columbia Washington—Plymouth TOTAL	$24\frac{1}{2}$ $19\frac{1}{2}$ $1\frac{1}{2}$ $1\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $46\frac{1}{2}$	88 16½ 53½ 12½ 30½ 20 1	112½ 17 73 14 31 247½
3RD DISTRICT (4 Judges)			
Carteret—Beaufort Craven—New Bern Pamlico—Bayboro Pitt—Greenville Farmville Ayden TOTAL	30½ 101 4 80½ 0 0 216	98½ 175½ 23 168 23 24½ 512½	129 276½ 27 248½ 23 24½ 728½
4TH DISTRICT (4 Judges)			
Duplin—Kenansville Jones—Trenton Onslow—Jacksonville Sampson—Clinton TOTAL	$ \begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 3\frac{1}{2} \\ 41\frac{1}{2} \\ 25 \\ 86 \end{array} $	73½ 16 327½ 84 501	89½ 19½ 369 109 587
5TH DISTRICT (3 Judges)			
New Hanover—Wilmington Pender—Burgaw TOTAL	$98\frac{1}{2}$ $20\frac{1}{2}$ 119	253 46 299	351½ 66½ 418
6TH DISTRICT (3 Judges)			
Bertie—Windsor Halifax—Halifax Roanoke Rapids Hertford—Winton Northampton—Jackson TOTAL	8½ 20 7 16 10 61½	$\begin{array}{c} 43\frac{1}{2} \\ 92\frac{1}{2} \\ 37 \\ 44\frac{1}{2} \\ 41 \\ 258\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52\\112^{1}/2\\44\\60^{1}/2\\51\\320\end{array}$

^{*} All days of court at each seat were not necessarily held by a judge assigned to the designated judicial district. In 1973 District Court Judges held a total of 334½ days of court in judicial districts other than their own. A day of court is defined as at least a two hour session before lunch and at least a two hour session after lunch. Judicial hospitalization, juvenile and domestic relations cases are counted as civil court.

	Civil	Criminal	Total
7TH DISTRICT (4 Judges)			
Edgecombe—Tarboro	$14\frac{1}{2}$	711/2	86
Rocky Mount	10	$58\frac{1}{2}$	681/2
Nash-Nashville	461/2	70	1161/2
Wilson—Wilson	36	1091/2	1451/2
TOTAL	107	3091/2	4161/2
8TH DISTRICT (4 Judges)			
Greene—Snow Hill	51/2	311/2	37
Lenoir—Kinston	471/2	1511/2	199
Wayne—Goldsboro	$107\frac{1}{2}$	149	2561/2
Mount Olive	0	23	23
TOTAL	1601/2	355 .	5151/2
9TH DISTRICT (3 Judges)			
		4017	401/
Franklin—Louisburg Granville—Oxford	6 21	$\frac{421_{2}}{481_{2}}$	48½ 69½
Person—Roxboro	12	50	62
Vance—Henderson	8	87	95
Warren-Warrenton	$4\frac{1}{2}$	27	311/2
TOTAL	511/2	255	3061/2
10TH DISTRICT (5 Judges)			
	2061/	6171/2	924
Wake—Raleigh Fuguay-Varina	$306\frac{1}{2}$ $19\frac{1}{2}$	$19\frac{1}{2}$	39
Wendell	1	231/2	241/2
TOTAL	327	6601/2	9871/2
		7.2	
11TH DISTRICT (4 Judges)			
Harnett—Lillington	421/2	931/2	136
Dunn	0	$42\frac{1}{2}$	421/2
Johnston—Smithfield	491/2	78	$127\frac{1}{2}$
Benson	0	401/2	$40\frac{1}{2}$
Selma	0	311/2	311/2
Lee—Sanford	1	120	121
TOTAL	93	406	499
12TH DISTRICT (4 Judges)			
Cumberland—Fayetteville	326	418	744
Hoke—Raeford	0	37	37
TOTAL	326	455	781
13TH DISTRICT (2 Judges)			
Bladen—Elizabethtown	291/2	611/2	91
Brunswick—Southport	22	51	73
Shallotte	0	36	36
Columbus—Whiteville	731/2	891/2	163
Tabor City	0	23	23
TOTAL	125	261	386

14TH DISTRICT (3 Judges)	Civil	Criminal	Total
Durham—Durham	145	202	347
15TH DISTRICT (4 Judges)			
Alamance—Graham	1781/2	96	2741/2
Chatham—Pittsboro	17	301/2	471/2
Siler City	71/2	291/2	37
Orange—Hillsborough	$27\frac{1}{2}$	52	791/2
Chapel Hill	$8\frac{1}{2}$	42	$50\frac{1}{2}$
TOTAL	239	250	489
16TH DISTRICT (3 Judges)			
Robeson—Lumberton	119	$155\frac{1}{2}$	2741/2
Fairmont	0	35	35
Maxton	0	$47\frac{1}{2}$	471/2
Red Springs	0	$32\frac{1}{2}$	$32\frac{1}{2}$
Rowland	0	231/2	231/2
Saint Pauls	0	281/2	281/2
Scotland—Laurinburg	$44\frac{1}{2}$	$85\frac{1}{2}$	130
TOTAL	1631/2	408	5711/2
17TH DISTRICT (4 Judges)			
Caswell—Yanceyville	8	351/2	431/2
Rockingham—Wentworth	46	0 -	46
Reidsville	0	83	83
Eden	0	731/2	731/2
Madison	0	46	46
Stokes—Danbury	1/2	40	401/2
Surry—Dobson	32	$112\frac{1}{2}$	$144\frac{1}{2}$
TOTAL	861/2	3901/2	477
18TH DISTRICT (7 Judges)			
Guilford—Greensboro	300	4001/2	7001/2
High Point	114	146	260
TOTAL	414	5461/2	9601/2
19TH DISTRICT (5 Judges)			
Cabarrus—Concord	631/2	91½	155
Kannapolis	1/2	51	511/2
Montgomery—Troy	$27\frac{1}{2}$	$61\frac{1}{2}$	89
Randolph—Asheboro	$54\frac{1}{2}$	$90\frac{1}{2}$	145
Rowan—Salisbury	$53\frac{1}{2}$	119	$172\frac{1}{2}$
TOTAL	1991/2	4131/2	613
20TH DISTRICT (4 Judges)			
Anson-Wadesboro	17	74	91
Moore—Carthage	241/2	401/2	65
Southern Pines	4	15	19
Richmond-Rockingham	$32\frac{1}{2}$	$68\frac{1}{2}$	101
	001/	94	1331/2
Stanly—Albemarle	$39\frac{1}{2}$		
Stanly—Albemarle Union—Monroe	39½ 56 173½	87	143 552½

21ST DISTRICT (5 Judges)	Civil	Criminal	Total
Forsyth—Winston-Salem	283	3461/2	6291/2
Kernersville	0	$19\frac{i}{2}$	191/2
TOTAL	283	366	649
22ND DISTRICT (4 Judges)			
Alexander—Taylorsville	15	32	47
Davidson—Lexington	92	791/2	1711/2
Thomasville	0	57	57
Davie-Mocksville	18	26	44
Iredell—Statesville	651/2	94	1591/2
Mooresville	6½	28	341/2
TOTAL	197	3161/2	5131/2
23RD DISTRICT (2 Judges)			
Alleghany—Sparta	121/2	161/2	29
Ashe—Jefferson	16	29	45
Wilkes—Wilkesboro	115	102	217
Yadkin—Yadkinville	12	$44\frac{1}{2}$	561/2
TOTAL	155½	192	3471/2
24TH DISTRICT (2 Judges)			
Avery—Newland	131/2	231/2	37
Madison—Marshall	18	$20\frac{1}{2}$	381/2
Mitchell—Bakersville	71/2	25	321/2
Watauga—Boone	21½	531/2	75
Yancey—Burnsville	101/2	23	331/2
TOTAL	71	1451/2	2161/2
25TH DISTRICT (4 Judges)			
Burke-Morganton	441/2	$122\frac{1}{2}$	167
Caldwell—Lenoir	$52\frac{1}{2}$	1331/2	186
Catawba—Newton	551/2	$76\frac{1}{2}$	132
Hickory	$52\frac{1}{2}$	109½	162
TOTAL	205	442	647
26TH DISTRICT (7 Judges)		,	
Mecklenburg—Charlotte	699	602	1 201
Weckienburg—Charlotte	099	002	1,301
27TH DISTRICT (5 Judges)			
Cleveland—Shelby	551/2	1291/2	185
Gaston—Gastonia	$164\frac{1}{2}$	470	6341/2
Lincoln-Lincolnton	36	791/2	$115\frac{1}{2}$
TOTAL	25 6	679	935
28TH DISTRICT (4 Judges)			
Buncombe—Asheville	4341/2	464	8981/2

29TH DISTRICT (3 Judges)	Civil	Criminal	Total
Henderson—Hendersonville McDowell—Marion Polk—Columbus Rutherford—Rutherfordton Transylvania—Brevard TOTAL	49½ 19 3½ 21½ 30 123½	59½ 53½ 19½ 67½ 43½ 243½	109 72½ 23 89 73½ 367
Cherokee—Murphy Clay—Hayesville Graham—Robbinsville Haywood—Waynesville Canton Jackson—Sylva Macon—Franklin Swain—Bryson City TOTAL	2½ 3½ ½ 71 0 11 4½ 21½	$28\frac{1}{2}$ 9 16 $71\frac{1}{2}$ 16 30 24 $21\frac{1}{2}$	31 12½ 16½ 142½ 16 41 28½ 43
GRAND TOTAL	5,7281/2	10,8931/2	16,622

FISCAL OPERATIONS

1972 - 73

The total receipts of the Judicial Department exceeded State expenditures by more than three quarters of a million dollars in the 1972-73 fiscal year.

State Expenditures for the Judicial Department\$	28,946,343.80
State and Local Receipts from Court Operations\$	29,714,049.97
Receipts by classification and governmental unit receiving fu	ınds:
Superior and District \$ 9,442,194.98 Court Fees (State) 6,517.25 Court of Appeals Fees (State) 15,472.83 Sale of Appellate Reports (State) 63,685.89 Law Enforcement Officers Benefit and Retirement Fund (State) 2,299,876.30	3
Total State Receipts	\$11,827,747.25
Facilities Fees (Counties) \$ 2,123,878.57 Officer Fees (Counties) 1,145,664.79 Jail Fees (Counties) 425,530.96 Fines and Forfeitures (Counties) 13,328,391.36 Total County Receipts	\$17,023,465.68
Facilities Fees (Municipalities) \$ 134,240.17 Officer Fees (Municipalities) 671,257.45 Jail Fees (Municipalities) 57,339.42	
Total Municipal Receipts	\$ 862,837.04
Total Receipts	\$29,714,049.97

Of the total Judicial Department receipts, \$9,527,870.95 or 32.1% went into the State General Fund. The balance was distributed to the Law Enforcement Officers Benefit and Retirement Fund (7.8%) and the counties (57.2%) and municipalities (2.9%) of the State.

Receipts deposited in the State General Fund amounted to 32.9% of the State's expenditures for the Judicial Department. Total State receipts (General Fund and LEOB&RF) equaled 40.1% of Judicial Department expenditures.

The table which follows shows a breakdown of the fees for each county and the municipalities within each county.

AMOUNTS OF FEES, FINES AND FORFEITURES COLLECTED AND DISTRIBUTED TO COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES

FISCAL YEAR 1972-73

Total	\$ 304,159.02	18,586.96	87,252.00	36,556.17	34,832.40	105,215.50	53,582.78	117,488.86	135,132.00	371,932.28	158,300.84	242,908.72	215,309.38	16,585.00	154,218.95	60,071.28	409,926.77	108,272.99	51,261.50	52,098.28	12,055.75	260,641.31	208,454.12	195,530.86	1,177,553.47
Jail Fees Municipality	00	0	0	0	0	48.00	27.00	0	0	0	21.00	0	350.00	0	0	0	6,863.00	894.00	354.00	0	0	1,068.00	1,880.00	00.9	0
Officer Fees Municipality	\$ 11,376.00	134.00	1,718.00	162.00	26.00	3,984.00	462.00	1,008.00	764.00	20,992.00	5,250.00	3,279.00	6,667.00	0	3,362.00	0	17,116.00	2,064.00	892.00	2,026.00	0	8,794.00	4,960.00	4,970.00	22,860.00
Facility Fees Municipality	\$ 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,770.00	2,885.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23,456.00	5,390.00	0	0	0	0	2,374.00	0	7,304.00
Fines and Forfeitures County	\$ 233,289.27 43,675.00	13,492.60	63,624.00	27,357.17	22,997.00	73,316.50	36,647.78	88,006.34	106,162.00	256,487.78	115,516.84	172,338.45	160,949.22	13,383.00	125,384.20	46,012.65	316,896.67	82,866.59	39,910.50	40,221.28	9,267.75	192,493.31	157,324.97	147,272.41	1,002,974.47
Jail Fees County	\$ 4,681.00	1,020.36	3,798.00	1,262.00	1,510.50	1,926.00	1,613.00	4,220.50	3,944.00	7,740.00	1,593.00	8,519.00	7,579.00	72.00	2,500.75	1,836.00	6,816.00	2,256.00	2,622.00	1,158.00	354.00	11,784.00	8,372.00	5,529.00	24,655.00
Officer Fees County	19,085.00	1,264.00	6,864.00	3,561.00	5,026.00	11,383.00	7,342.00	11,170.05	9,890.00	30,299.00	12,332.00	25,355.47	14,800.52	1,317.00	8,172.00	5,827.63	17,379.00	6,962.40	2,740.00	2,564.00	1,200.00	17,947.00	13,321.50	13,437.45	43,888.50
Facility Fees County	35,727.75 \$	2,676.00	11,248.00	4,214.00	5,272.90	14,558.00	7,491.00	11,314.00	11,487.00	56,413.50	23,588.00	33,416.80	24,963.64	1,813.00	14,800.00	6,395.00	21,400.10	7,840.00	4,743.00	6,129.00	1,234.00	28,555.00	20,221.65	24,316.00	75,871.50
	€÷																								
County	Alamance	Alleghany	Anson	Ashe	Avery	Beaufort	e Bertie	Bladen	Brunswick	Buncombe	Burke	Cabarrus	Caldwell	Camden	Carteret	Caswell	Catawba	Chatham	Cherokee	Chowan	Clay	Cleveland	Columbus	Craven	Cumperland

Total	65,017.25	343,998.13	77,476.55	173,019.80	374,752.28	159,102.99	602,571.99	97,360.00	418,452.90	33,706.20	23,350.76	134,103.60	50,102.68	840,424.76	173,395.77	175,086.82	126,605.17	106,761.69	69,637.45	94,566.32	19,914.00	297,688.21	70,743.75	267,366.35	21,921.00	145,787.75	238,319.70	115,617.00	58,698.00	31,785.00	77,857.70	94,819.50
Jail Fees Municipality	00	906.00	0	3,031.00	0	1,833.00	0	484.00	261.00	0	0	735.00	0	0	3,075.00	3,936.42	365.00	0	390.00	0	0	1,149.00	0	2,681.00	0	0	4,097.00	0	00.99	0	0	0.00
Officer Fees Municipality	0 726 00	5.766.00	702.00	3,286.00	25,531.50	8,436.00	62,661.20	1,580.00	16,114.00	0	124.00	1,886.00	450.00	73,851.50	4,582.00	5,934.00	3,366.00	2,278.00	2,188.00	260.00	0	5,587.00	0	5,884.00	102.00	5,384.00	6,569.00	1,226.00	1,216.00	256.00	1,166.00	591.25
Facility Fees Municipality	00	6.453.00	0	0	0	6,978.00	1,997.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,428.00	7,629.00	1,860.00	340.00	0	0	0	3,670.00	0	4,507.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o
Fines and Forfeitures County	53,793.25	267,740,53	60,166.95	134,773.80	265,755.25	102,887.00	401,307.09	70,320.90	302,522.40	27,275.00	17,715.00	104,351.60	38,258.68	556,827.26	131,017.53	125,128.05	98,427.67	77,983.00	51,419.79	77,767.32	16,583.00	232,728.81	57,376.75	199,300.65	14,958.00	100,367.05	178,738.70	86,845.00	48,099.00	22,975.00	56,966.70	73,204.03
Jail Fees County	1,238.00	7.486.10	1,249.60	1,573.00	6,877.00	5,240.00	12,581.45	1,680.10	13,705.50	393.00	838.00	3,657.00	1,161.00	21,938.50	2,968.74	2,233.00	3,360.00	4,202.00	1,288.00	2,835.00	242.00	6,023.60	3,071.00	8,179.20	620.00	7,617.00	6,234.00	4,079.00	1,377.00	809.00	868.00	4,285.82
Officer Fees County	4,710.00	22,991,50	6,428.00	11,332.00	18,817.00	15,792.99	20,311.25	9,257.00	27,853.00	2,805.20	2,136.00	8,830.00	4,365.00	37,281.00	12,887.50	13,088.00	9,496.50	8,737.19	5,521.66	5,684.00	1,408.00	18,388.80	4,728.00	20,389.00	2,891.00	13,505.70	14,483.00	10,610.00	3,163.00	3,589.00	8,284.00	7,203.00
Facility Fees County	5,276.00	32,655.00	8,930.00	19,024.00	57,771.53	17,936.00	103,714.00	14,038.00	57,997.00	3,233.00	2,537.76	14,644.00	5,868.00	150,526.50	15,437.00	17,138.35	9,730.00	13,221.50	8,830.00	7,720.00	1,681.00	30,141.00	5,568.00	26,425.50	3,350.00	18,914.00	28,198.00	12,857.00	4,777.00	4,156.00	10,573.00	9,529.40
County	Currituck Dare	Davidson	Davie	Duplin	Durham	Edgecombe	Forsyth	Franklin	Gaston	Gates	Graham	Granville	Greene	Guilford	Halifax	Harnett	Haywood	Henderson	Hertford	Hoke	Hyde	Iredell	Jackson	Johnston	Jones	Lee	Lenoir	Lincoln	Macon	Madison	Martin	McDowell

Officer Fees Jail Fees Municipality Municipality Total	69,156.00 0 1,158,390.71	0	0	2,422.00		1,287.00	0	0	538.00	0	0	0	0	0	2,742.00	0	123.00	1,089.00	5,581.00	2,584.00	0	258.00	00.69	0	0	0	5,195.00 1,794.00 197,991.88	0	0	0	1,963.00 0 180,825.46	
Facility Fees Municipality	0	0	0	3,439.00	10,974.00	0	0	0	5,866.00	0	0	0	0	423.00	6,672.00	0	0	0	11,493.00	11,124.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
Fines and Forfeitures County	893,088.21	14,915.00	66,534.20	127,580.00	158,796.91	246,715.16	37,954.00	351,215.71	127,510.25	17,603.81	75,037.00	65,006.20	20,968.00	69,049.53	198,158.80	28,695.00	184,979.40	131,373.81	307,719.70	131,995.40	179,611.32	90,905.30	127,621.75	90,426.70	111,065.36	32,489.00	144,601.55	39,899.50	27,431.65	7,520.00	137,482.06	
Jail Fees County	186.00	1,265.00	3,511.00	3,688.00	4,891.60	9,268.40	1,857.00	13,166.00	1,683.00	276.00	1,410.00	2,604.00	276.00	1,420.00	8,418.00	2,507.50	4,833.50	3,450.00	6,154.00	956.50	5,181.00	6,231.00	4,045.00	4,737.00	3,266.20	2,246.50	2,228.34	3,833.00	3,451.00	93.00	7,906.00	
Officer Fees County	31,852.00	2,733.00	8,834.00	11,166.00	19,375.50	12,480.00	5,902.41	21,152.00	11,361.00	2,940.00	5,146.00	6,671.00	1,941.00	4,049.00	16,403.00	2,891.00	26,887.93	12,929.50	25,076.35	15,807.05	23,964.53	9,341.50	14,837.20	7,359.00	8,428.00	6,557.50	20,202.95	2,989.00	3,863.67	1,162.00	13,387.00	
Facility Fees County	164,108.50	3,374.00	10,917.25	12,409.00	19,204.00	44,254.00	6,830.00	36,410.00	14,081.00	3,118.00	11,159.00	8,742.00	2,584.00	11,600.00	33,439.00	3,541.00	27,198.00	17,855.00	28,546.83	18,913.50	30,610.30	16,420.00	18,795.00	11,111.00	17,456.00	8,182.00	23,970.04	3,941.00	5,234.00	1,267.00	20,087.40	
County	Mecklenburg	Mitchell	Montgomery	Moore	Nash	New Hanover	Northampton	Onslow	Orange	Pamlico	Pasquotank	Pender	Perguimans	Person	Pitt	Polk	Randolph	Richmond	Robeson	Rockingham	Rowan	Rutherford	Sampson	Scotland	Stanly	Stokes	Surry	Swain	Transylvania	Tyrrell	Union	

Total	53,408.28	34,180.38	63,526.72	287,668.61	124,261.33	186,068.87	62,648.17	42,955.10	\$17.886.302.72
Jail Fees Municipality	00.9	0	0	399.00	0	48.00	0	0	\$ 57.339.42
Officer Fees Municipality	744.00	1,018.00	800:00	9,751.00	1,176.00	4,788.00	1,083.00	340.00	\$671.257.45
Facility Fees Municipality	0	0	0	732.00	0	0	0	0	\$134.240.17
Fines and Forfeitures County	38,088.50	24,777.38	47,160.72	208,543.61	86,750.83	131,643.96	47,163.10	33,272.60	\$13.328.391.36
Jail Fees County	2,997.00	00.609	1,963.00	9,114.00	5,339.00	6,101.00	1,448.00	1,623.00	\$425.530.96
Officer Fees County	4,676.50	3,194.00	6,342.00	19,804.00	11,795.50	18,747.31	5,595.07	3,769.50	\$1.145.664.79
Facility Fees County	6,896.28	4,582.00	7,261.00	39,325.00	19,200.00	24,740.60	7,359.00	3,950.00	59.123.878.57
County	Warren	Washington	Watauga	Wayne	Wilkes	Wilson	Yadkin	Yancey	TOTALS

REPRESENTATION OF INDIGENTS

Private counsel are appointed to represent indigent defendants in 27 of the State's judicial districts. In the 12th, 18th, and 28th judicial districts (Cumberland, Hoke, Guilford, and Buncombe Counties) indigents are normally represented by the public defender's office. The public defender's office of the 28th judicial district is not included in this report since it was not established until July of 1973. The costs of this program rose from \$1,962,719.59 in the 1971-72 fiscal year to \$2,624,378.41 in the 1972-73 fiscal year, an increase of 33.7%. The program constituted 8.8% of total Judicial Department expenditures in 1972-73. The cost of the program in 1972-73 is summarized as follows:

Assigned Counsel in Criminal Cases Assigned Counsel in Juvenile Cases		
Total		\$ 2,279,868.73
Public Defender's Office in 12th Judicial District	110,617.53	;
Public Defender's Office in	110,017.55	
18th Judicial District	119,421.26	
Total		230,038.79
Transcripts, Records and Briefs		112,915.89
Expert Witness Fees		1,555.00
TOTAL		\$ 2,624,378.41

The table at the end of this section compares the assigned counsel program in 1971-72 and 1972-73. The number of cases assigned rose from 11,278 to 16,304 or 44.6% and expenditures rose from \$1,655,837 to \$2,279,869 or 37.7%. Of the 16,304 assignments in 1972-73, 2,123 or 13% were in juvenile proceedings.

Court appearances represent only a portion of the activity of the public defenders, but the numbers do reflect the high level of activity in both of these offices:

Court Appearances

	12th District	18th District
District Court	644	1,221
Superior Court	454	493
Appellate Courts	14	58:

The total cost of providing counsel to indigents in the two districts with public defenders was as follows:

Public Defender Assigned Counsel	12th D istrict \$110,618 19,296	18th District \$119,421 14,735
	\$129,914	\$134,156

It is instructive to compare these costs with the cost of the assigned counsel in other urban areas: 10th District (Wake) \$155,234; 14th District (Durham) \$128,523; 21st District (Forsyth) \$107,979; and 26th District (Mecklenburg) \$254,207.

When private counsel or the public defender is assigned to represent an indigent, the court sets the money value of services rendered and enters judgment against the defendant for such amount. Receipts from payments on these judgments rose from \$57,624.79 in 1971-72 to \$86,104.84 in 1972-73. Receipts in 1972-73 amounted to 3.3% of the total cost of the indigent defendant program.

ASSIGNED COUNSEL Cases and Expenditures

		Cases		E	xpenditure	
	1971-72	1972-73	Percent Increase	1971-72	1972-73	Percent Increase
1ST DISTRICT						
Camden Chowan Currituck Dare	8 20 9 15	4 26 19 3	(50.0) 30.0 111.1 (80.0)	2,199 3,760 820 1,570	650 3,149 2,637 970	(70.4) (16.2) 221.6 (38.2)
Gates Pasquotank Perquimans	9 59 10	7 51 12	(22.2) (13.6) 20.0	905 6,255 1,28 5	535 6,110 3,804	(40.9) (2.3) 196.0
TOTAL	130	122	(6.2)	16,794	17,855	6.3
2ND DISTRICT						
Beaufort Hyde Martin Tyrrell Washington TOTAL	97 6 43 13 42 201	123 5 73 4 44 249	26.8 (16.7) 69.8 (69.2) 4.8 23.9	14,743 825 5,940 1,310 3,770 26,588	16,145 675 9,915 300 5,100 32,135	9.5 (18.2) 66.9 (77.1) 35.3 20.9
3RD DISTRICT						
Carteret Craven Pamlico Pitt TOTAL	71 208 9 285 573	152 310 8 508 97 8	114.1 49.0 (11.1) 78.2 70.7	10,908 34,684 975 42,607 89,174	22,227 38,905 1,350 73,006 135,488	
4TH DISTRICT						
Duplin Jones Onslow Sampson TOTAL	90 21 166 104 381	121 46 384 203 75 4	34.4 119.0 131.3 95.2 97.9	12,546 2,430 17,925 15,231 48,132	14,112 4,485 45,124 21,790 8 5,511	84.6 151.7 43.1
5TH DISTRICT						
New Hanover Pender TOTAL	205 14 219	343 40 38 3	67.3 185.7 74.9	34,370 1,775 36,145	66,837 13,915 80,75 2	683.9
6TH DISTRICT						
Bertie Halifax Hertford Northampton TOTAL	34 108 34 41 217	55 154 71 33 313	42.6 108.8 (19.5)	4,950 10,750 3,679 6,255 25,634	4,950 13,890 6,135 5,748 30,72 3	29.2 66.8 (8.1)

		Cases			Expenditure	s
	1971-72	1972-73	Percent Increase	1971-72	1972-73	Percent Increase
7TH DISTRICT						
Edgecombe	128	171	33.6	15,790	23,393	48.2
Nash	107	129	20.6	17,951	21,160	17.9
Wilson	167	206	23.4	20,234	30,530	50.9
TOTAL	402	506	25.9	53,975	75,083	39.1
8TH DISTRICT						
Greene	12	37	208.3	1,860	4,860	161.3
Lenoir Wayne	93 196	$\frac{200}{247}$	$115.1 \\ 26.0$	12,185 21,885	29,750	144.2
TOTAL	301	484	60.8	35,930	36,245 70 ,8 55	65.6 97.2
	501	101	00.0	35,530	10,000	31.2
9TH DISTRICT	40		4055	. =	40.00	
Franklin	40 67	115 157	187.5 134.3	6,730 8,648	18,396 20,785	173.3
Granville Person	51	60	17.6	8,435	8,455	140.3 .2
Vance	59	227	284.7	8,964	29,700	231.3
Warren	34	36	5.9	6,560	4,585	(30.1)
TOTAL	251	595	137.1	39,337	81,921	108.3
10TH DISTRICT						
Wake	1,119	1,262	12.8	146,492	155,234	6.0
11TH DISTRICT						
Harnett	91	128	40.7	11,685	16,425	40.6
Johnston	109	210	92.7 13.8	18,565	32,945	77.5
Lee TOTAL	87 287	99 4 37	52.3	13,375 4 3,625	16,650 66,020	24.5 51.3
12TH DISTRICT	201	101	02.0	10,020	00,020	01.0
			47.0	10.100	4==40	45.0
Cumberland Hoke	37 10	54 6	45.9 (40.0)	$12,160 \\ 1,775$	17,746 1,550	45.9 (12.7)
TOTAL	47	60	27.7	13,935	19,296	38.5
13TH DISTRICT	1.	•		10,000	10,200	00.0
	00	00	0.0		0.000	(44.0)
Bladen Brunswick	80 34	83 59	3.8 73.5	11,114 5,340	9,820 6,425	(11.6) 20.3
Columbus	69	171	147.8	13,181	23,192	76.0
TOTAL	183	313	71.0	29,635	39,437	33.1
14TH DISTRICT						
Durham	606	802	32.3	95,664	128,523	34.3
15TH DISTRICT						
Alamance	121	287	137.2	26,818	44,694	66.7
Chatham	46	58	26.1	6,475	8,310	28.3
Orange	122	188	54.1	23,653	37,455	58.4
TOTAL	289	533	84.4	56,946	90,459	58.9
16TH DISTRICT						
Robeson	223	211	(5.4)	37 ,663	40,012	6.2
Scotland TOTAL	105 328	125 336	19.0 2. 4	11,585 4 9,248	19,010 59,022	64.1 19. 8
TOTAL	020	000	21,"1	10,240	00,022	13.0

		Cases			Expenditure	
	1971-72	1972-73	Percent Increase	1971-72	1972-73	Percent Increase
17TH DISTRICT						
Caswell	38	39	2.6	5,927	5,235	(11.7)
Rockingham	149	266	78.5	25,184	45,107	79.1
Stokes	21	45	114.3	3,875	6,127	58.1
Surry	88	117	33.0	13,425	26,885	100.3
TOTAL	296	467	57.8	48,411	83,354	72.2
18TH DISTRICT						
Guilford	39	64	64.1	10,008	14,735	47.2
19TH DISTRICT						
Cabarrus	222	377	69.8	27,345	39,840	45.7
Montgomery	88	144	63.6	12,000	11,725	(2.3)
Randolph	119	191	60.5	16,785	21,260	26.7
Rowan	215	272	26.5	21,570	32,534	50.8
TOTAL	644	984	52. 8	77,700	105,359	35.6
20TH DISTRICT						
Anson	58	79	36.2	11,275	8,885	(21.2)
Moore	101	115	13.9	11,365	14,045	23.6
Richmond	138	154	11.6	15,680	21,600	37.8
Stanly	110	134	21.8	17,463	22,057	26.3
Union	100	142	42.0	14,165	23,450	65.5
TOTAL	507	624	23.1	69,948	90,037	28.7
21ST DISTRICT						
Forsyth	368	621	68.8	70,416	107,979	53.3
22ND DISTRICT						
Alexander	47	50	6.4	5,600	4,675	
Davidson	155	262	69.0	32,939	33,519	
Davie	34	34	0	4,350	4,000	
Iredell	126 362	183 529	45.2 46.1	22,903	23,565	
TOTAL	302	329	40.1	65,792	65,759	(.1)
23RD DISTRICT	_					
Allegany	7	10	42.9	1,350	1,275	
Ashe	20	58	190.0	3,925	6,740	
Wilkes	83 12	70 31	(15.7) 158.3	10,525	13,010	
Yadkin TOTAL	122	169	38.5	2,050 17,850	3,910 24,93 5	
24TH DISTRICT					·	
	4.0	00	70.0	4	0.700	1.0 -
Avery	15	26	73.3	1,515	3,780	
Madison	27 13	36 4	33.3 (69.2)	2,925	5,463	
Mitchell Watauga	63	62	(09.2)	1,255 5,917	675 8,029	, , ,
Yancey	9	17	88.9	1,150	2,867	
TOTAL	127	145	14.2	12,762	20,814	
25TH DISTRICT						
Burke	126	138	9.5	20,030	14,955	(25.3)
Caldwell	121	186	53.7	20,540	21,060	
Catawba	175	228	30.3	27,000	33,735	24.9
TOTAL	422	552	30. 8	67,570	69,750	3.2

		Cases	Percent		Expenditure	s Percent
26TH DISTRICT	1971-72	1972-73	Increase	1971-72	1972-73	Increase
Mecklenburg	1,355	1,931	42.5	210,743	254,207	20.6
27TH DISTRICT						
Cleveland	149	179	20.1	22,810	24,458	7.2
Gaston	264	418	58.3	51,852	89,941	73.5
Lincoln	66	110	66.7	8,480	17,436	105.6
TOTAL	479	707	47.6	83,142	131,835	58.6
28TH DISTRICT						
Buncombe	616	796	29.2	64,720	75,694	17.0
29TH DISTRICT						
Henderson	74	101	36.5	10,155	11,605	14.3
McDowell	37	92	148.6	4,735	11,640	145.8
Polk	13	29	123.1	2,618	3,584	37.0
Rutherford	61	110	80.3	7,426	13,112	76.6
Transylvania	2 5	26	4.0	3,285	3,375	2.7
TOTAL	210	358	70.5	28,219	43,316	53. 5
30TH DISTRICT						
Cherokee	57	70	22.8	5,975	5,960	(.3)
Clay	6	10	66.7	320	1,150	259.4
Graham	0	7		0	1,369	******
Haywood	59	77	30.5	7,105	6,355	(10.6)
Jackson	36	27	(25.0)	4,272	4,439	3.9
Macon	21	29	38.1	2,105	2,887	37.1
Swain	18	10	(44.4)	1,525	1,620	6.2
TOTAL	197	230	16. 8	21,302	23,780	11.6
GRAND TOTAL	11,278	16,304	44.6	\$1,655,837	\$2,279,868	37.7

TABLE I

THE COURTS COMMISSION

1973

MR. J. RUFFIN BAILEY—Chmn. Raleigh

REP. R. LANE BROWN, III Albemarle

REP. LAURENCE A. COBB Charlotte

REP. HERSCHEL S. HARKINS Asheville

SENATOR J. J. HARRINGTON Lewiston

REP. L. SNEED HIGH Fayetteville

REP. HERBERT L. HYDE Asheville

Mr. Wilbur M. Jolly Louisburg

SENATOR J. RUSSELL KIRBY Wilson

SENATOR H. EDWARD KNOX Charlotte

School of Law University of North Carolina Chapel Hill REP. H. HORTON ROUNTREE Greenville

SENATOR KENNETH C. ROYALL, JR. Durham

MR. W. MARCUS SHORT Greensboro MR. LINDSAY C. WARREN, JR. Goldsboro

Ex Officio Members:

DEAN J. D. PHILLIPS

Mr. Bert M. Montague—Director Administrative Office of the Courts Raleigh

MR. C. W. TEAGUE North Carolina State Bar Raleigh

MR. HERBERT H. TAYLOR, JR. North Carolina Bar Association Tarboro

TABLE II

THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

1973

JUSTICE J. FRANK HUSKINS—Chmn. Raleigh

Mr. W. Douglas Albright

Greensboro Mr. W. Marion Allen

Elkin

JUDGE JULIUS L. BANZET Warrenton

REP. DAVID BLACKWELL

Reidsville

JUDGE DAVID M. BRITT

Raleigh

SENATOR LUTHER J. BRITT, JR. Lumberton

Mr. LEON CORBETT

Burgaw

JUDGE SAM J. ERVIN, III

Morganton

Mr. WILLIAM C. GRIFFIN, Jr.

Williamston Rep. Robert L. Farmer

Raleigh

SENATOR LAMAR GUDGER Asheville

Mr. Kyle Hayes North Wilkesboro

JUDGE HENRY A. McKinnon, Jr. Lumberton

Mr. W. D. Sabiston, Jr. Carthage

MR. ROBERT G. SANDERS Charlotte

Mr. CLAUD R. WHEATLY, Jr. Beaufort

Mr. R. Bruce White, Jr. Deputy Attorney General of N. C. Raleigh

MR. FRANKLIN E. FREEMAN, JR.
Assistant Director of the
Administrative Office of the Courts

and

Executive Secretary of Judicial Council

TABLE III

Trial Judges of the General Court of Justice

Superior Court Division *

District	Judge	City
1	Dewey W. Wells	Elizabeth City
	Elbert S. Peel, Jr.	Williamston
$\frac{2}{3}$	Robert D. Rouse, Jr.	Farmville
4	Russell J. Lanier	Beulaville
5	Bradford Tillery	Wilmington
0	Joshua S. James	Maple Hill
6	Perry Martin	Rich Square
7	George M. Fountain	Tarboro
8	Albert W. Cowper	Kinston
9	Hamilton H. Hobgood	Louisburg
10	James H. Pou Bailey	Raleigh
	Donald L. Smith	Cary
11	Harry E. Canaday	Benson
12	E. Maurice Braswell	Fayetteville
	Coy E. Brewer	Fayetteville
13	Edward B. Clark	Elizabethtown
14	Clarence W. Hall	Durham
15	D. Marsh McLelland	Burlington
16	Henry A. McKinnon, Jr.	Lumberton
17	James M. Long	Yanceyville
18	Walter E. Crissman James G. Exum, Jr.	High Point
	Charles T. Kivett	Greensboro
19	Frank M. Armstrong	Greensboro Troy
13	Thomas W. Seay, Jr.	Spencer
20	John D. McConnell	Southern Pines
21	Harvey A. Lupton	Winston-Salem
27.	William Z. Wood	Winston-Salem
22	Robert A. Collier, Jr.	Statesville
23	Julius A. Rousseau, Jr.	North Wilkesboro
24	W. E. Anglin	Burnsville
25	Sam J. Ervin, III	Morganton
26	Fred H. Hasty	Charlotte
	William T. Grist	Charlotte
	Frank W. Snepp, Jr.	Charlotte
27	B. T. Falls, Jr.	Shelby
22	John R. Friday	Lincolnton
28	W. K. McLean	Asheville
90	Harry C. Martin	Asheville
29	J. W. Jackson	Hendersonville Webster
30	Lacy H. Thornburg	webster

^{*} In districts with more than one judge, the senior resident judge is listed first.

Special Judges, Superior Court

Judge	City
John Webb Dennis J. Winner J. William Copeland Robert M. Martin Sammie L. Chess, Jr. A. Pilston Godwin, Jr.	Wilson Asheville Murfreesboro High Point High Point Raleigh
Robert R. Browning	Greenville

Emergency Judges, Superior Court

Walter J. Bone W. H. S. Burgwyn Zeb V. Nettles George B. Patton F. Donald Phillips Walter W. Cohoon Francis O. Clarkson P. C. Froneberger Joseph W. Parker Robert M. Gambill William Y. Bickett

Nashville Woodland Asheville Franklin Rockingham Elizabeth City Charlotte Gastonia Windsor North Wilkesboro

Raleigh

Conference of Superior Court Judges

President President Elect Vice-President Secretary-Treasurer

J. William Copeland, Murfreesboro Edward B. Clark, Elizabethtown Joshua S. James, Maple Hill John Webb, Wilson

Additional Executive Committee Members:

B. T. Falls, Jr., Shelby Robert A. Collier, Jr., Statesville

District Court Division *

District	Judge	City
1	Fentress Horner	Elizabeth City
	Wilton F. Walker, Jr.	Currituck
2	Hallett S. Ward	Washington
	Charles H. Manning	Williamston
3	J. W. H. Roberts	Greenville
	Charles H. Whedbee	Greenville
	Herbert O. Phillips, III	Morehead City
	Robert D. Wheeler	Grifton
4	Harvey Boney	Jacksonville
	Paul M. Crumpler	Clinton
	Kenneth W. Turner	Rose Hill
	Walter P. Henderson	Trenton
5	Gilbert H. Burnett	Wilmington
	N. B. Barefoot	Wilmington
	John M. Walker	Wilmington
6	J. T. Maddrey	Weldon
	Joseph D. Blythe	Harrellsville
	Ballard S. Gay	Jackson
7	J. Phil Carlton	Pinetops
	Allen W. Harrell	Wilson
	Tom H. Matthews	Rocky Mount
	Ben H. Neville	Whitakers
8	W. Milton Nowell	Goldsboro
	Herbert W. Hardy	Maury
	Emmett R. Wooten	Kinston
	Lester W. Pate	Kinston
9	Julius Banzet	Warrenton
	Claude W. Allen, Jr.	Oxford
10	Linwood T. Peoples	Henderson
10	Linwood T. Peoples George F. Bason Edwin S. Preston, Jr.	Raleigh
	Edwin S. Preston, Jr.	Raleigh
	S. Pretlow Winborne	Raleigh
	Henry V. Barnett, Jr.	Raleigh

^{*} The chief district judge is listed first.

District	Judge	City
11	Robert B. Morgan, Sr.	Lillington
	W. Pope Lyon	Smithfield
	William I. Godwin	Selma
	Woodrow Hill	Dunn
12	Derb S. Carter	Fayetteville
	D. B. Herring, Jr.	Fayetteville
	Joseph E. Dupree	Raeford
	Seavy A. Carroll	Fayetteville
13	Ray H. Walton Giles R. Clark	Southport
		Elizabethtown
14	E. Lawson Moore	Durham
	J. Milton Read, Jr.	Durham
	Thomas H. Lee	Durham
15	Jasper B. Allen, Jr.	Burlington
	Stanley Peele	Chapel Hill
	Coleman Cates	Burlington
10	Donald Lee Paschal	Siler City
16	Samuel E. Britt	Lumberton
	John S. Gardner	Lumberton
17	Charles G. McLean	Lumberton
17	Leonard H. van Noppen Foy Clark	Danbury Mount Airy
	Coorgo M Harris	Yanceyville
	George M. Harris Frank Freeman	Dobson
18	E. D. Kuykendall, Jr.	Greensboro
10	Byron Haworth	High Point
	Elreta M. Alexander	Greensboro
	Walter E. Clark, Jr	Greensboro
	B. Gordon Gentry	Greensboro
	B. Gordon Gentry Edward K. Washington	Jamestown
	Darl L. Fowler	Greensboro
19	Hal H. Walker	Asheboro
	L. T. Hammond Jr.	Asheboro
	Robert L. Warren Frank M. Montgomery	Concord
	Frank M. Montgomery	Salisbury
	Odell Sann	Salisbury
20	F. Fetzer Mills Edward E. Crutchfield Walter M. Lampley	Wadesboro
	Edward E. Crutchfield	Albemarle
	Walter M. Lampley	Rockingham
	A. A. Webb	Rockingham
21	Abner Alexander Buford T. Henderson	Winston-Salem
	Butord T. Henderson	Winston-Salem
	Robert K. Leonard	Winston-Salem
	John Clifford	Winston-Salem
22	A. Lincoln Sherk Hubert E. Olive, Jr.	Winston-Salem
24	L. Roy Hughes	Lexington Thomasville
	Preston Cornelius	Troutman
	C. H. Dearman	Statesville
23	Ralph Davis	North Wilkesboro
20	Samuel L. Osborne	Wilkesboro
24	J. Ray Braswell	Newland
	Bruce B. Briggs	Mars Hill
25	Samuel L. Osborne J. Ray Braswell Bruce B. Briggs Marshall E. Cline	Lenoir
	Wheeler Dale	Morganton
	Randy Dean Duncan	Hickory
	John David Ingle	Hickory
	- Contract of the contract of	

District	Judge	City	
26	William H. Abernathy	Charlotte	
	Kenneth A. Griffin	Charlotte	
	William G. Robinson	Charlotte	
	J. Edward Stukes	Charlotte	
	Larry Thomas Black	Charlotte	
	P. B. Beachum, Jr.	Charlotte	
	Clifton Johnson	Charlotte	
27	Lewis Bulwinkle	Gastonia	
	Robert W. Kirby	Cherryville	
	Oscar F. Mason, Jr.	Gastonia	
	Arnold Max Harris	Ellenboro	
	James Ralph Phillips	Gastonia	
28	Cary Walter Allen	Asheville	
	Zebulon Weaver, Jr.	Asheville	
	William Marion Styles	Black Mountain	
	James O. Israel, Jr.	Candler	
29	Robert T. Gash	Brevard	
	Ladson F. Hart	Brevard	
	Wade B. Matheny	Forest City	
30	Robert J. Leatherwood, III	Bryson City	
	Charles J. McDarris	Waynesville	

Conference of Chief District Judges

President George F. Bason, Raleigh

North Carolina Association of District Court Judges

President Tom H. Matthews, Rocky Mount Vice-President Derb S. Carter, Fayetteville John Clifford, Winston-Salem

TABLE IV

District Attorneys and Assistant District Attorneys

District	District Attorneys and Assistants	City
1	John H. Small	Elizabeth City
	Thomas S. Watts	Elizabeth City
	David K. Teague	Elizabeth City
2	William C. Griffin, Jr.	Williamston
	James W. Hardison	Williamston
	Samuel G. Grimes	Washington
3	Eli Bloom	Greenville
	Sam L. Whitehurst, Jr.	New Bern
	William H. Barker	Wildwood
	Rhodes C. Stokes	Greenville
	Edwin B. Aycock, Jr.	Greenville
4	Walter T. Britt	Clinton
	William H. Andrews	Jacksonville
	Evan A. Erwin, III	Jacksonville
	William G. King	Clinton
	William M. Bacon, III	Clinton
5	W. Allen Cobb	Wilmington
	James C. King	Wilmington
	Ernest H. Newman	Wilmington
	John E. Carriker	Wilmington

[•] The District Attorney is listed first.

District	District Attorneys and Assistants	City
6	W. H. S. Burgwyn, Jr.	Woodland
	W. E. Murphrey, III	Roanoke Rapids
7	Robert E. Williford	Lewiston
1	Roy E. Holdford, Jr. Franklin R. Brown	Wilson
	C. David Williams, Jr.	Tarboro Wilson
	Sarah F. Patterson	Wilson
8	F. Ogden Parker	Goldsboro
	D. M. Jacobs	Goldsboro
	John Patrick Exum	Snow Hill
0	Kenneth R. Ellis	Fremont
9	Charles M. White, III	Warrenton
	Edward J. Crotty Ben U. Allen	Butner
10	Burley B. Mitchell, Jr.	Henderson
10	Joseph A. Calder	Raleigh
	John R. Riley	Raleigh Raleigh
	Kyle S. Hall	Raleigh
	Francis W. Crawley	Raleigh
	Russell G. Sherrill, III	Raleigh
	Stafford G. Bullock	Raleigh
	William B. Crumpler	Durham
	Louis W. Payne, Jr.	Raleigh
11	John W. Twisdale	Smithfield
	James E. Floors James D. Moretz	Princeton
	Elton C. Pridgen	Sanford
12	Jack A. Thompson	Smithfield Fayetteville
	David K. Fox, Jr.	Fayetteville
	Edward W. Grannis, Jr.	Fayetteville
	Duncan B. McFadyen	Raeford
	Wade E. Byrd	Fayetteville
	Randy S. Gregory	Buies Creek
4.0	Thomas H. Finch, Jr.	Fayetteville
13	Lee J. Greer	Whiteville
	J. Wilton Hunt William E. Wood	Whiteville
14	Anthony Brannon	Whiteville
1.1	Eric C. Michaux	Bahama Durham
	Richard T. Rigsbee	Durham
	Robert L. Farb	Durham
15	Herbert F. Pierce	Graham
	William L. Long	Chapel Hill
	Q. Harold Caviness	Burlington
	Charles W. Wannamaker, III	Chapel Hill
16	Edward Y. Brewer Joe Freeman Britt	Whitsett
10	George D. Regan	Lumberton Lumberton
	William A. Hough	Fairmont
	Charles D. Ratley	Red Springs
17	Allan D. Ivie, Jr.	Eden
	Ralph J. Scott	Danbury
	Alfred J. Ellington	Walnut Cove
10	Jerry Cash Martin	Mount Airy
18	Douglas Albright	Greensboro
	Thomas F. Kastner Michael A. Schlosser	Greensboro
	Howard D. Cole	Greensboro
	Howard R. Greeson, Jr.	Greensboro High Point
	Robert A. Franklin	Greensboro
	J. Samuel Pfaff	Greensboro

District	District Attorneys and Assistants	City
	Joseph Robert John	Greensboro
	David H. Idol, II	High Point
19	James E. Roberts	Kannapolis
	Timothy M. Hawkins	Concord
	Milton B. Shoaf, Jr.	Salisbury
	Ronald J. Bowers	Salisbury
	Chapin P. Oldham	Asheboro
20	Carroll R. Lowder	Monroe
	Donald R. Huffman	Wadesboro
	Kenneth W. Honeycutt	Monroe
	Maurice A. Cawn	Monroe
0.1	Warren B. Morgan, Jr.	Marshville
21	Frank J. Yeager	Winston-Salem
	Alvin A. Thomas James C. Yeatts, III	Winston-Salem
		Bethania Winston-Salem
	Richard R. Lyle Larry G. Reavis	Winston-Salem
	Michael A. Ashburn	Winston-Salem
	Gary B. Tash	Winston-Salem
22	H. W. Zimmerman, Jr.	Lexington
22	Carroll C. Wall, III	Lexington
	Robert W. Johnson	Statesville
	James R. Foley	Statesville
	Samuel A. Cathey	Statesville
23	J. Allie Hayes	Moravian Falls
	Vaughn E. Jennings, Jr.	Taylorsville
24	Clyde M. Roberts	Marshall
	James T. Rusher	Boone
25	Donald E. Greene	Hickory
	Benjamin Beach	Lenoir
	Bruce K. Caldwell	Maiden
	Samuel McD. Tate	Morganton
90	Joseph P. Edens, Jr.	Hickory
26	Thomas F. Moore, Jr. H. Irwin Coffield, III	Charlotte Charlotte
	Peter S. Gilchrist	Charlotte
	K. Shepherd Buckhalt, Jr.	Charlotte
	J. Gentry Caudill	Charlotte
	Chase B. Saunders	Charlotte
	Dennis L. Guthrie	Charlotte
	Kenneth N. Davis	Charlotte
	Barbara J. Dean	Charlotte
	Walter J. Dozier, Jr.	Matthews
	Paul L. Pawlowski	Belmont
27	W. Hampton Childs, Jr.	Lincolnton
	Berlin H. Carpenter, Jr.	Gastonia
	William L. Morris	Lincolnton
	Charles D. Randall	Cherryville
	George W. Hill	Cherryville
00	Michael K. Hodnett	Gastonia
28	Robert D. Lewis Robert W. Fisher	Asheville
	Thomas E. L. Lipsey, II	Asheville
	Alton T. Cummings	Asheville Asheville
	James A. Freeman	Asheville
29	M. Leonard Lowe	Rutherfordton
	Jack M. Freeman	Forest City
	Sherrill L. Atkins	Rutherfordton
30	Marcellus Buchanan, III	Sylva
	James H. Howell, Jr.	Waynesville
	John J. Snow, Jr.	Murphy
		-

District Attorneys Conference

President Vice-President Secretary-Treasurer W. Hampton Childs, Jr., Lincolnton W. Allen Cobb, Wilmington William C. Griffin, Jr., Williamston

TABLE V

Public Defenders and Assistant Public Defenders *

	I done Determent that the second	
District	Public Defender and Assistants	City
12	James D. Little	Fayetteville
	Deno G. Economou	Fayetteville
	Henry G. Beaver	Fayetteville
18	Wallace G. Harrelson	Greensboro
	Richard S. Towers	High Point
	Delmar L. Dowda	Greensboro
	Dallas C. Clark, Jr.	Greensboro
	Vaiden P. Kendrick	Greensboro
	William C. Ray	Greensboro
	Emmett S. Lupton, Jr.	High Point
	Ellis J. Harrington, Jr.	High Point
28	Peter L. Roda	Asheville
20	Robert L. Harrell	Asheville
	J. Robert Hufstader	Asheville

* The Public Defender is listed first.

TABLE VI

Clerks of Superior Court

County

Alamance Alexander Alleghany Anson Ashe Avery Beaufort Bertie Bladen Brunswick Buncombe Burke Cabarrus Caldwell Camden Carteret Caswell Catawba Chatham Cherokee Chowan Clay Cleveland Columbus Craven

Cumberland Currituck Dare

Davidson

Davie

Clerk of Court

William L. Durham Martha J. Adams Glenn Busic H. C. Tucker Virginia W. Johnson Dean B. Eller Bessie J. Cherry Thomas S. Speight Wanda S. Campbell J. E. Brown J. Ray Elingburg T. G. Bumgarner Estus B. White Mary H. Thompson Caroline G. Halstead Mary G. Austin Julian P. Moore Eunice W. Mauney J. W. Drake James C. Howse Lena M. Leary Ralph A. Allison Ruth S. Dedmon Lacy R. Thompson Dorothy P. Pate George T. Griffin R. E. Saunders C. S. Meekins Elmer R. Everhart Glenn L. Hammer

County

Duplin ' Durham Edgecombe Forsyth Franklin Gaston Gates Graham Granville Greene Guilford Halifax Harnett Haywood Henderson Hertford Hoke Hyde Iredell Jackson Johnston Jones Lee Lenoir Lincoln Macon Madison Martin McDowell Mecklenburg Mitchell Montgomery Moore Nash New Hanover Northampton Onslow Orange Pamlico Pasquotank Pender Perquimans Person Pitt Polk Randolph Richmond Robeson Rockingham Rowan Rutherford Sampson Scotland Stanly Stokes Surry Swain Transylvania Tyrrell Union Vance Wake

Clerk of Court

John A. Johnson Alton Knight Don Gilliam, Jr. A. E. Blackburn Ralph S. Knott Betty J. Jenkins S. Hayes Carter, Jr. O. W. Hooper, Jr. Mary C. Nelms Jimmie Lee Jones J. P. Shore J. C. Taylor Elizabeth F. Matthews J. B. Siler
J. Seldon Osteen
Arthur W. Greene
E. E. Sallar Godl Walter Allen Credle Carl G. Smith James C. Woodard
F. Rogers Pollock
Sion H. Kelly
M. E. Creech
M. L. Huggins
A. W. Perry
Ludson Edwards Judson Edwards Mary K. Davenport Ruth B. Williams Robert M. Blackburn Roger W. Ellis Charles M. Johnson Charles M. Johnson
C. M. McLeod
Rachel M. Joyner
James G. McKeithan
R. J. White, Jr.
Everitte Barbee Frank S. Frederick Sadie W. Edwards Sade W. Edwards
Naomi A. Chesson
Frances N. Futch
W. J. Ward
Rama J. Williams
H. L. Lewis, Jr.
J. Thurston Arledge J. Thurston Arledge
John H. Skeen
Miriam F. Greene
Ben G. Floyd
Frankie C. Williams
Francis C. Glover
Edgar W. Tanner
Charlie T. McCullen, Jr.
J. M. McGregor
Joe H. Lowder
Robert Miller
Martha O. Comer Martha O. Comer H. H. Sandlin Marian M. McMahon Jessie L. Spencer Ethel M. Gordon Henry W. Hight J. Russell Nipper

County

Warren
Washington
Watauga
Wayne
Wilkes
Wilson
Yadkin
Yancey

Clerk of Court

Robert S. Rodwell Louise S. Allen Orville H. Foster Shelton Jordan Wayne Yates William A. Boone, Jr. Harold J. Long Arnold E. Higgins

Association of Clerks of Superior Court

President First Vice-President Second Vice-President Treasurer Secretary Everitte Barbee, Onslow James C. Woodard, Johnston Ethel M. Gordon, Union Harold J. Long, Yadkin Eunice W. Mauney, Catawba



STATE LIBRARY OF NORTH CAROLINA
3 3091 00748 3555



